

The environmental benefits and challenges of a composite car with structural battery materials

*Frida Hermansson¹, Ivan Berg¹, Kevin Sandberg¹, Leif E. Asp²,
Matty Janssen¹, and Magdalena Svanström¹*

¹Environmental Systems Analysis, Chalmers University of Technology, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

²Material and Computational Mechanics, Chalmers University of Technology, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

Reducing the fuel consumption for electric vehicles

- One way to reduce the environmental impact of battery electric vehicles (BEVs) is to reduce fuel consumption
 - This can be done by reducing the vehicles mass
 - Two possible materials are: carbon fibre reinforced polymers (CFRPs) or structural battery materials (SBs)
 - The SBs provide both structural integrity but also stores energy
- This study assesses the change in life cycle environmental impacts related to transitioning from a conventional BEV to a vehicle with components made from either CFRPs or SBs.

Designing the conceptual composite car

- Which parts of an BEV could we replace with:
 1. CFRPs?
 2. SBs?
 - What would the **influence on the mass** of the vehicle be?
 - What would the total **life cycle environmental impacts** be?

Designing the conceptual composite car

- Discussions with technology experts on which parts that could be replaced with either CFRP or SBs
 - 10 components
 - Some more structural like the roof
 - Some less structural like inner door panels
- By calculating CFRP's and SBs' effective modulus and energy density we could assess how much composite materials that would be needed to maintain the components structural integrity
 - We could calculate the changes in vehicles mass due to changing of components
 - We could calculate how much smaller battery the SB vehicle could have


LCA goal and scope

- Assessed three different BEVs used for 200 000 km
 - Conventional BEV
 - BEV with components from CFRP
 - BEV with components from SB
- Functional unit: The selected components and the battery
- Cradle-to-grave study with system expansion by substitution
 - Materials are assumed to be recycled at end-of-life
- A lighter vehicle is given a credit for avoided fuel use
- Assessed impact categories: Climate impact and crustal scarcity indicator

Mass and fuel savings for the three cases

	Δm (kg) Material substitution	Δm (kg) Battery size	Δm (kg) Total	Fuel saved (kWh)
Conventional BEV	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
CFRP vehicle	-37.6	0	-37.6	-519
SB vehicle	+3.68	-42.0	-38.4	-529

LCA results



Conclusions

- The use of CFRP and SB's in vehicles shows great potential to reduce vehicles mass and thus the fuel consumption
- From a life cycle perspective, the use of CFRPs and SBs increase environmental impacts today
 - Environmental hotspots are: energy consumption in the carbon fibre production and structural batteries manufacturing/assembly process
- Future research could include:
 - Manufacturing fibres, composites and SBs by means of microwave technology
 - The use of bio-based raw materials (lignin) for carbon fibre production
 - Recycling and recovery of high quality fibres at the end-of-life



References

- Berg, I. & Sandberg, K. (2021). The environmental opportunities and challenges of a structural battery car (Unpublished master's thesis)

Acknowledgement

This study was conducted as a part of the LIBRE (Lignin Based Carbon Fibres for Composites) project, which has received funding from the Bio-Based Industries Joint Undertaking under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 720707. The study has also been carried out in association with Batteries Sweden (BASE) and the Strategic Innovation Programme LIGHTer.



CHALMERS