



CHALMERS
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Graphene-based biosensors for the detection of prostate cancer protein biomarkers: a review

Downloaded from: <https://research.chalmers.se>, 2026-04-04 21:37 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Xu, L., Wen, Y., Pandit, S. et al (2019). Graphene-based biosensors for the detection of prostate cancer protein biomarkers: a review. *BMC Chemistry*, 13(1).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13065-019-0611-x>

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

MINI REVIEW

Open Access



Graphene-based biosensors for the detection of prostate cancer protein biomarkers: a review

Li Xu^{1,2}, Yanli Wen¹, Santosh Pandit², Venkata R. S. S. Mokkalapati², Ivan Mijakovic^{2,3*}, Yan Li¹, Min Ding¹, Shuzhen Ren¹, Wen Li¹ and Gang Liu^{1*} 

Abstract

Prostate cancer (PC) is the sixth most common cancer type in the world, which causes approximately 10% of total cancer fatalities. The detection of protein biomarkers in body fluids is the key topic for the diagnosis and prognosis of PC. Highly sensitive screening of PC is the most effective approach for reducing mortality. Thus, there are a growing number of literature that recognizes the importance of new technologies for early diagnosis of PC. Graphene is playing an important role in the biosensor field with remarkable physical, optical, electrochemical and magnetic properties. Many recent studies demonstrated the potential of graphene materials for sensitive detection of protein biomarkers. In this review, the graphene-based biosensors toward PC analysis are mainly discussed in two groups: Firstly, novel biosensor interfaces were constructed through the modification of graphene materials onto sensor surfaces. Secondly, ingenious signal amplification strategies were developed using graphene materials as catalysts or carriers. Graphene-based biosensors have exhibited remarkable performance with high sensitivities, wide detection ranges, and long-term stabilities.

Keywords: Prostate cancer, Protein biomarker, Graphene, Biosensor

Introduction

PC is one of the most common cancers in the world which causes a fatality of approximately 10% in all cancer patients [1–4]. PC is a type of malignant neoplasm of the prostate gland which is extremely prevalent among men of age 50 and older [5, 6]. The established risk factors for PC include advancing age, race, positive family history of PC and diet [7, 8]. Being asymptomatic, it is very difficult to detect PC at early stages [9]. In clinical practice, early screening and diagnosis of PC is the most effective approach for reducing mortality [9, 10]. Thus, there is a growing body of literature that recognizes the

importance of new technologies for early screening and diagnosis of PC [11, 12].

Tumor markers for early clinical screening and rapid diagnosis cover a wide range of biochemical entities, including, proteins [13, 14], nucleic acids [15–17], small metabolites [18, 19], cytogenetic and cytokinetic parameters [20], and entire tumor cells [21, 22] in body fluid [23]. So far, protein biomarkers are still recognized as a golden standard for PC diagnosis [24]. In the past few decades, a variety of promising biosensors have been developed based on the specific recognition of PC protein biomarkers, aiming at better performance of cancer diagnosis such as easy operation, portability, and real-time analysis [25–28]. Among them, the graphene-based biosensors have received considerable critical attention for the potential use in point-to-care (POC) testing devices, because of the unique properties of graphene such as large surface area, high electrical conductivity, excellent

*Correspondence: ivan.mijakovic@chalmers.se; liug@simt.com.cn

¹ Laboratory of Biometry, Division of Chemistry and Ionizing Radiation Measurement Technology, Shanghai Institute of Measurement and Testing Technology, Shanghai 201203, People's Republic of China

² Division of Systems and Synthetic Biology, Department of Biology and Biological Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, 41126 Gothenburg, Sweden

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



biocompatibility and convenient production/functionalization [29–31].

This review highlights recent graphene-based biosensors for PC protein biomarkers detection. As far as we know, this is the first review that focuses on specific one disease. We reviewed recent progress of graphene-based biosensors for PC protein biomarker detection. Our manuscript clearly stated the advantages and shortcomings of most of the graphene-based when facing PC diagnosis, thus, the manuscript should be valuable for the future application of graphene-based biosensors.

Most commonly used protein biomarkers for PC detection

Protein biomarkers for cancer diagnosis are usually produced by either cancer cells or other cells in response to cancer [32–34], which have been proved to be promising targets for early diagnosis, monitoring treatment response, detecting recurrence or following up prognosis of cancer [35–37]. Protein biomarkers are usually in low abundance and unstable in body fluids, and thus, the specific detection of protein biomarkers is usually affected by the crude or complex environment [33, 38]. Thus, sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy are basic requirements to consider for protein biosensor fabrication [39–41].

Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) [42], which is also called human kallikrein 3 (hK3 or KLK3), has been widely recognized in clinical application as one of the earliest found, serological PC biomarkers [43, 44]. The PSA value above 4.0 ng/mL is usually considered as abnormal [45], thus, 4.0 ng/mL of PSA is the internationally recognized threshold value for PC occurrence [46, 47]. However, the specificity of PSA is still limited [48], because higher PSA levels can also be found in benign conditions, such as benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) [49–51], and PSA could be produced by normal breast and breast cancer cells [48]. These limitations indicate that PSA alone is not an appropriate surrogate marker for the diagnosis and screening of PC. Fortunately, several other protein PC biomarkers are developed.

Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) [52] is a type II transmembrane protein, and PSMA expression has been reported in benign prostatic hyperplasia and increased to higher level in high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and prostatic adenocarcinoma [53]. Further, stronger PSMA expression correlates to malignancy [54, 55]. The available research results suggest the potential clinical use for PSMA in PC patients. So far, the major PSMA clinical application has been in therapeutics and imaging [56–58]. Prostate stem cell antigen [59] is another recently discovered PC biomarker [60], which is highly expressed by a large number of human prostate tumors, such as metastatic and hormone-refractory, but barely expressed in normal tissues [60–62]. Engrailed-2

(EN2) protein is found in the urine sample of prostatic cancer patients and showed a specificity of 88.2% and a sensitivity of 66% [63, 64]. Therefore, the EN2 in urine is widely recognized as a potential biomarker of PC.


Properties of graphene materials in biosensor study

Graphene is a two-dimensional (2D) nanomaterial, which plays an important role in the biosensor field [64–66]. The use of graphene in biosensing platform offers remarkable physical, optical, electrochemical and magnetic properties [67–70]. Different kinds of graphene materials are researched in biosensors including pristine graphene and functionalized graphene such as graphene oxide (GO), reduced graphene oxide (rGO), and graphene-based quantum dots (GQDs), etc. [71–74]. Pristine graphene is identified as the array of a 2D hexagonal lattice of sp^2 -bonded carbon atoms. GO is chemically produced by oxidation and exfoliation of graphene, causing extensive oxidative modification of the basal plane [31, 75–77]. The rGO is prepared through reductive process of GO, for this purpose, different methods have been developed to reduce its oxygen content, including thermal, chemical, microwave, photochemical, microbial/bacterial, and photo-thermal methods [78–80]. GQDs consist of single to tens of layers of graphene with a size of a few nanometers which exhibit quantum phenomena [81, 82].

Development of protein biosensors based on graphene could be classified into two main groups (Fig. 1): Firstly, functionalized graphene materials including GO, rGO and GQDs [72] were assembled onto the biosensor surface [electrode, field-effect transistors (FET) channel, etc.] to construct novel biosensor interfaces for improved assembling of molecular receptors [83]. In this group, excellent biosensor performance was achieved mainly based on the increased specific surface area and the unique π - π orbital interaction on the interface. Secondly, many recent studies applied graphene materials as excellent carriers for the construction of novel nanocomposites [84], and in this group, interesting biosensor signal amplification and unique catalytic/chemical activity was realized for sensitive protein biomarker analysis [85].

Biosensor interfaces based on graphene

Graphene and its derivatives are studied for the construction of novel biosensor interface [67], which is critical for interface-based biosensors including electrochemical biosensors, electrochemiluminescent (ECL) biosensors and FET biosensor [86]. Many recent studies reported that nanocomposites based on graphene showed improved capability of combining different biomolecules, with higher surface area [87] and excellent biocompatibility [88].



Construction of antibody-graphene biosensor interface

Traditionally, antibodies are physically adsorbed onto the immune-assay surfaces, such as classic 96-well plates and colloidal gold test strips. However, one of the main obstacles is the affinity and capacity, because the hydrophobic and hydrophilic interaction is relatively weak and the orientation of the antibody molecules is random [89]. As several recent studies reported, the strong cross-linking between carboxylic acid groups on graphene materials and the amine groups of antibodies (COOH-NH_2) was used for the assembling of antibody on novel biosensor interfaces [90, 91]. In their work, the application of graphene materials increased the loading amount, orientation controllability as well as binding capability of the antibodies or antibody fragments. For example, Li et al. developed a graphene modified sensor platform with increased surface area, and then assembled antibody onto the surface through COOH-NH_2 combining, with the assistant of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), and they finally achieved a low detection limit of 2 pg/mL [92].

In order to realize better-oriented assembling of antibody, Mao et al. applied chitosan as the dispersant to construct an immuno-interface on a glassy carbon electrode (Fig. 2A), which provided much more amino groups for PSA antibody bonding. They finally developed a simple, label-free electrochemical immunosensor on graphene-methylene blue composite modified electrode [93]. More recently, Jang et al. developed a novel 3D graphene-Au composite (Fig. 2B), toward increased accessible surface area for antibody combination than 2D graphene sheet. More importantly, the

crumpled graphene could produce higher capacitances, which is crucial for the following electrochemical immunosensing [94].

A graphene-modified electrode was also reported in ECL biosensor [95] for PSA detection. More recently, Wu et al. developed an electrode surface modified with Au/Ag-rGO (Fig. 3A), and then, a large amount of aminated GQDs and carboxyl GQDs were combined onto the electrode surface. In their work, Au and Ag nanoparticles were used for the adsorption of PSA antibody, and meanwhile, GQDs were for the ECL signal amplification. Finally, they constructed a label-free PSA ECL biosensor with a detection limit as low as 0.29 pg/mL [96].

Graphene materials were also applied in FET biosensors, for the construction of 2D nano-FET biosensors [97–101], with unique advantages like more receptor biomolecules, low noise, and high sensitivity, compared with 1D FET biosensors [102–104]. As a successful example, Kim and coworkers [105] developed an rGO-based FET biosensor for label-free and ultrasensitive analysis of PSA/ α 1-antichymotrypsin (PSA-ACT) (Fig. 3B). The FET biosensor was produced by combining rGO onto an aminated glass surface, and then, functionalized with PSA antibody. When PSA-ACT was captured by the antibodies on FET substrate, a linear shift of the gate voltage ($\Delta V_{g,min}$) was achieved, indicating the minimum conductivity. Finally, they successfully performed detection of PSA-ACT of femtomolar level.

Construction of aptamer-graphene biosensor interface

For interface-based PC biosensors, the DNA capture probe plays a key role, which could recognize and





Fig. 2 Schematic illustration of Label-free electrochemical immunosensors for PC protein biomarkers based on: **A** graphene-methylene blue nanocomposite, Reprinted with permission from [93], Copyright 2011 Elsevier. **B** graphene-Au nanocomposite (Reprinted with permission from [94]. Copyright 2014 Elsevier)



capture the target molecules [106]. The very famous DNA probe in PC biosensor is DNA aptamer [10, 107–109], which is a special single-strand DNA (ssDNA) isolated from DNA/RNA libraries of random sequence, by using an in vitro selection process called systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX) [110–113].

As the first step toward an aptasensing platform, scientists developed several different strategies to assemble the DNA aptamer onto the electrode as the key recognition element [114–117]. In many reported studies,

graphene-based nanocomposites were firstly prepared consisting of graphene and another combing material. For example, Bafrooei et al. modified the electrode with rGOmulti-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) nanocomposite and then produced a layer of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) through electrochemical reduction under -0.2 V in HAuCl_4 , then SH-labeled DNA aptamer was combined to Au on the electrode surface. Finally, their aptasensor achieved 1.0 pg/mL limit of detection (LOD) by using both DPV and ESI methods. Different chemical reactions were applied for the assembling of DNA onto graphene

materials. Branched polyethylenimine (PEI) was applied by Pan et al. to connect thiol-mediated ssDNA onto carboxylated GO for PSA detection [118]. Recently, EDC-NHS coupling was applied by Settu et al. to combine DNA probe onto a screen-printed carbon-graphene-modified electrode of the detection of EN2 protein [119].

Graphene-based composites for signal-amplification

Peroxidase-like activity of GO

In 2010, Qu’s group firstly reported the peroxidase-like activity of GO (Fig. 4a) [120]. Before long, Yang and coworkers found GO was capable of catalyzing the oxidation




Fig. 4 a Schematic illustration of peroxidase-like activity of GO for the colorimetric detection of glucose, Reprinted with permission from [120], Copyright 2010, John Wiley and Sons, b schematic representation of the immunoassay procedure (Reprinted with permission from [134]. Copyright 2010 Elsevier)

of hydroquinone with the assistant of H_2O_2 , producing a brown color solution. Thus, they produced an antibody-functionalized GO as the signal tag and developed a sandwich-type colorimetric immunoassay for the detection of PSA. In their work [121], an immunocomplex was established when PSA combined GO with secondary anti-PSA ($GO-Ab_2$) and magnetic bead (MB) with primary anti-PSA antibody ($MB-Ab_1$). After the separation in a magnetic field, the color signal was detected corresponding to the concentration of PSA. Their simple immunoassay can be detected by naked eyes (Fig. 4b).

Graphene materials being applied as the carrier of signal tags

Many recent studies applied graphene-related materials as excellent carriers for the construction of novel nanocomposites for biosensor signal amplification [122–124]. These graphene-based composites were developed by combining graphene or its derivatives with metal oxides, metal nanoparticles, or conductive polymers, etc., and this kind of composites showed unique catalytic/

chemical activity [86], that has been widely applied in PC biosensors [125].

Han et al. developed a novel signal tag for PSA and free PSA (fPSA) detection, by using onion-like mesoporous graphene sheets (O-GS) as the carrier of different AuNP-based nano hybrids [126]. As the novel O-GS have multilayer lamellar structure, large surface-to-volume ratio, and excellent electronic transport properties, two kinds of redox nanocomposites were attached to the surface of O-GS, which could accelerate the electron transfer rate and enhance the immobilization amount of enzyme and detection antibodies. Sun et al. reported a signal label by combining bovine serum albumin (BSA)-stabilized silver nanoparticles onto ZnO nanorods modified rGO, and the AgNPs in the composite showed super catalytic performance toward hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), generating a current signal [127]. Feng et al. developed a sandwich-type electrochemical immunosensor for the detection of PSA. In their work, a GO platform ($Au@Th/GO$) was used to immobilize primary antibodies and accelerate the electron transfer on the electrode interface. An




Fig. 5 Protein capture and detection mediated by $Fe_3O_4@GO$ sheets. Proteins captured by $Fe_3O_4@GO$ decorated with detection antibodies. Composite with biomarker was then captured on the sensor surfaces coated with graphene and capture antibodies. Amperometric signal was generated by injecting 100 μL 5 mM H_2O_2 (Reprinted with permission from [129]. Copyright 2016 Elsevier)

rGO-based nanocomposite (PtCu@rGO/g-C₃N₄) with large surface area, good biocompatibility, and excellent conductivity were used as labels for combining secondary antibodies and amplifying signals. Then secondary antibodies were combined onto this platform and signals were amplified from H₂O₂ reduction [128].

Sharafeldin et al. [129] assembled Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles together with antibody onto GO sheets to produce a multi-function nanocomposite (Fig. 5). When the GO-antibody-Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite specifically combined to PSA and PSMA proteins, the resulted complex could be isolated in a magnetic field and delivered in microfluidic channel to an electrochemical detection cell. The Fe₃O₄-GO particles subsequently catalyze H₂O₂ reduction, generating a current signal. Improved LOD of 15 fg/mL of PSA and 4.8 fg/mL of PSMA was achieved, which was 1000-times better than previously reported PSA biosensors using Fe₃O₄ only, probably because GO carried more Fe₃O₄ particles and thus dramatically increased the electrochemical signal.

Conclusion and future perspectives

Biosensors for cancer biomarker detection opened a new avenue for the POC PC detection. In spite of their very short history, graphene-based materials have successfully demonstrated their unique advantages in biosensors for PC protein biomarkers. This review has

summarized recent advances, challenges, and trends in the application of graphene-based materials for biosensing of PC protein biomarkers. In this review, the commonly used PC protein biomarkers for biosensor, the unique properties of graphene and the roles of graphene-based materials for biosensing were introduced. Among various PC protein biomarkers, PSA was the most frequently selected target for PC detection biosensor construction. Most studies focused on single biomarker detection and studies on detection of multiple biomarkers are limited. A variety of graphene-based materials such as pristine graphene, functionalized graphene (GO, rGO, GODs) were used in PC biosensor development and most of them were combined with other nanomaterials like nanoparticles. We have also summarized various strategies and approaches which can be used for graphene-based biosensor development. Graphene-based materials were used not only for novel biosensor interfaces construction but also as excellent carriers for the construction of novel nanocomposites for signal amplification. In most of the cases, graphene-based biosensors have exhibited satisfactory biocompatibility towards the bioactive species and remarkable performance with high sensitivities, wide linear detection ranges, low detection limits and long-term stabilities (Table 1). As other 2D materials have now been explored, we believe that more 2D

Table 1 Current generation reports of graphene-based biosensors for PC biomarker detection

Technique	Receptor system	Target proteins	LOD	Detection ranges	References
ECHEM	rGO-MWCNT/AuNPs	PSA	1.0 pg/mL	(0.005–20) ng/mL for DPV, (0.005–100) ng/mL for EIS	[132]
ECHEM	rGO/Ag@BSA	HCG, PSA, CEA	0.0007 mIU/mL for HCG, 0.35 pg/mL for PSA, and 0.33 pg/mL for CEA	(0.002–120) mIU/mL for HCG, (0.001–110) ng/mL for PSA, (0.001–100) ng/mL for CEA	[127]
ECHEM	Au@Th/GO, PtCu@rGO/graphitic carbon nitride	PSA	16.6 fg/mL	50 fg/mL–40 ng/mL	[128]
ECHEM	GO/ssDNA/PLLA NPs	VEGF, PSA	–	(0.05–100) ng/mL for VEGF, (1–100) ng/mL for PSA	[118]
ECHEM	Fe ₃ O ₄ /PDDA/GO	PSA, PSMA	15 fg/mL for PSA, 4.8 fg/mL for PSMA	(61 fg/mL–3.9 pg/mL) for PSA, (9.8 fg/mL–10 pg/mL) for PSMA	[129]
ECHEM	Au@PBNPs/O-GS, Au@NiNPs/O-GS	fPSA, PSA	6.7 pg/mL for fPSA, 3.4 pg/mL for PSA	(0.02–10) ng/mL for fPSA, (0.01–50) ng/mL for PSA	[126]
ECHEM	GS/DA/Fe ₃ O ₄ /FC	PSA	2 pg/mL	(0.01–40) ng/mL	[92]
ECHEM	Carbon-graphene/aptamer	EN2 protein	38.5 nM	(35–185) nM	[119]
ECHEM	GS-MB-CS	PSA	13 pg/mL	(0.05–5.00) ng/mL	[93]
ECHEM	3D graphene/Au	PSA	0.59 ng/mL	(0–10) ng/mL	[94]
FET	rGO	PSA-ACT	100 fg/mL	(10 ⁻⁷ –1) μg/mL	[105]
Fluorescence	GQDs-NR	ACP	28 μU/mL	(0–1500) μU/mL	[133]
Fluorescence	GO/peptide/FITC	PSA	0.3 nM	(0–20) nM	[64]
ECL	Au/Ag-rGO/aminated-GQDs/carboxyl-GQDs	PSA	0.29 pg/mL	1 pg/mL–10 ng/mL	[96]
ECL	graphene	PSA	8 pg/mL	10 pg/mL–8 ng/mL	[95]
Colorimetric	GO/MB	PSA	–	–	[134]

materials like MoS₂ could be employed and integrated into biosensors for PC biomarker detection in the upcoming future.

Although tremendous progress has been made in the past a few years of graphene-based biosensors for PC detection, there still remain some challenges. Firstly, PSA has been demonstrated not a specific biomarker in prostate cancer early screening. As a result, detection of multiple biomarkers is crucial for precise diagnosis and prognosis of PC [130, 131]. More attention should be paid to studies on the simultaneous detection of multiple biomarkers in the future. In addition, there are only a few studies on PC biomarker detection in different body fluid. To improve the accuracy and practicability of the diagnosis, more studies are expected to perform biomarker detection in different body fluid.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFF0204605, 2017YFF0204603, 2018YFF0212803), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21775104), and Shanghai Rising-Star Program (16QB1403100). This work was supported by grants from Vinnova, the Danish Research Council FTP and Olle Engkvist Foundation to IM.

Authors' contributions

LX contributed to the conception of the review and manuscript writing. YLW and SP contributed to the data collection. VRSSM contributed to the manuscript preparation. IM helped revising the manuscript. YL, MD, SZR and WL helped interpreting the data with constructive discussions. GL contributed to the conception of the review. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFF0204605, 2017YFF0204603, 2018YFF0212803), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21775104), and Shanghai Rising-Star Program (16QB1403100). This work was supported by grants from Vinnova, the Danish Research Council FTP and Olle Engkvist Foundation to IM.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Laboratory of Biometry, Division of Chemistry and Ionizing Radiation Measurement Technology, Shanghai Institute of Measurement and Testing Technology, Shanghai 201203, People's Republic of China. ²Division of Systems and Synthetic Biology, Department of Biology and Biological Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, 41126 Gothenburg, Sweden. ³The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark.

Received: 21 August 2018 Accepted: 15 July 2019

Published online: 03 September 2019

References

- Healy DA, Hayes CJ, Leonard P, McKenna L, O'Kennedy R (2007) Biosensor developments: application to prostate-specific antigen detection. *Trends Biotechnol* 25:125–131
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A (2017) Cancer statistics, 2017. *CA Cancer J Clin* 67:7–30
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A (2018) Cancer statistics, 2018. *CA Cancer J Clin* 68:7–30
- Kim EH, Andriole GL (2018) Prostate cancer review. *Mo Med* 115:131
- Pettersson A, Robinson D, Garmo H, Holmberg L, Stattin P (2018) Age at diagnosis and prostate cancer treatment and prognosis: a population-based cohort study. *Ann Oncol* 29:377–385
- Lemanska A, Dearnaley DP, Jena R, Sydes MR, Faithfull S (2018) Older age, early symptoms and physical function are associated with the severity of late symptom clusters for men undergoing radiotherapy for prostate cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 30:334–345
- Bashir MN (2015) Epidemiology of prostate cancer. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 16:5137–5141
- Pernar CH, Ebot EM, Wilson KM, Mucci LA (2018) The epidemiology of prostate cancer. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a030361>
- Sammon JD, Serrell EC, Karabon P, Leow JJ, Abdollah F, Weissman JS, Han PKJ, Hansen M, Menon M, Trinh QD (2018) Prostate cancer screening in early medicaid expansion states. *J Urol* 199:81–88
- Carroll PR, Parsons JK, Andriole G, Bahnson RR, Castle EP, Catalona WJ, Dahl DM, Davis JW, Epstein JI, Etzioni RB, Farrington T, Hemstreet GP, Kawachi MH, Kim S, Lange PH, Loughlin KR, Lowrance W, Maroni P, Mohler J, Morgan TM, Moses KA, Nadler RB, Poch M, Scales C, Shaneyfelt TM, Smaildone MC, Sonn G, Sprenkle P, Vickers AJ, Wake R, Sheard DA, Freedman-Cass DA (2016) Prostate cancer early detection, version 2.2016. *J Natl Compr Cancer Netw* 14:509–519
- Partin AW (2013) Early detection of prostate cancer continues to support rational, limited screening. *J Urol* 190:427–428
- Creemers RG, Eeles RA, Bancroft EK, Ringelberg-Borsboom J, Vasen HF, Van Asperen CJ, Committee IS, Schalken JA, Verhaegh GW, Kiemeneys LA (2015) The role of the prostate cancer gene 3 urine test in addition to serum prostate-specific antigen level in prostate cancer screening among breast cancer, early-onset gene mutation carriers. *Urol Oncol* 33:202 e19–e28
- Rifai N, Gillette MA, Carr SA (2006) Protein biomarker discovery and validation: the long and uncertain path to clinical utility. *Nat Biotechnol* 24(8):971–983
- Ordonez NG (2014) Value of podoplanin as an immunohistochemical marker in tumor diagnosis: a review and update. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol* 22:331–347
- Mitchell PS, Parkin RK, Kroh EM, Fritz BR, Wyman SK, Pogosova-Agadjanyan EL, Peterson A, Noteboom J, O'Brian KC, Allen A, Lin DW, Urban N, Drescher CW, Knudsen BS, Stirewalt DL, Gentleman R, Vessella RL, Nelson PS, Martin DB, Tewari M (2008) Circulating microRNAs as stable blood-based markers for cancer detection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:10513–10518
- Bettegowda C, Sausen M, Leary RJ, Kinde I, Wang YX, Agrawal N, Bartlett BR, Wang H, Lubber B, Alani RM, Antonarakis ES, Azad NS, Bardelli A, Brem H, Cameron JL, Lee CC, Fecher LA, Gallia GL, Gibbs P, Le D, Giuntoli RL, Goggins M, Hogarty MD, Holdhoff M, Hong SM, Jiao YC, Juhl HH, Kim JJ, Siravegna G, Laheru DA, Lauricella C, Lim M, Lipson EJ, Marie SKN, Netto GJ, Oliner KS, Olivi A, Olsson L, Riggins GJ, Sartore-Bianchi A, Schmidt K, Shih IM, Oba-Shinjo SM, Siena S, Theodorescu D, Tie JN, Harkins TT, Veronese S, Wang TL, Weingart JD, Wolfgang CL, Wood LD, Xing DM, Hruban RH, Wu J, Allen PJ, Schmidt CM, Choti MA, Velculescu VE, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Luis AJ (2014) Detection of circulating tumor DNA in early- and late-stage human malignancies. *Sci Transl Med* 6(224):224ra24
- Isbell JM, Jones DR, Li BT (2018) Circulating tumor DNA: A promising biomarker to guide postoperative treatment and surveillance of non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 155:2628–2631
- Wu LL, Chiou CC, Chang PY, Wu JT (2004) Urinary 8-OHdG: a marker of oxidative stress to DNA and a risk factor for cancer, atherosclerosis and diabetes. *Clin Chim Acta* 339:1–9
- Monteiro M, Moreira N, Pinto J, Pires-Luis AS, Henrique R, Jeronimo C, Bastos ML, Gil AM, Carvalho M, Guedes de Pinho P (2017) GC-MS metabolomics-based approach for the identification of a potential VOC-biomarker panel in the urine of renal cell carcinoma patients. *J Cell Mol Med* 21(9):2092–2105
- Natarajan H, Kumar L, Bakhshi S, Sharma A, Velpandian T, Kabra M, Gogia A, Ranjan Biswas N, Gupta YK (2018) Imatinib trough levels: a potential biomarker to predict cytogenetic and molecular response

- in newly diagnosed patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma* 60:1–8
21. Yu M, Stott S, Toner M, Maheswaran S, Haber DA (2011) Circulating tumor cells: approaches to isolation and characterization. *J Cell Biol* 192:373–382
 22. Inoue M, Otsuka K, Shibata H (2016) Circulating tumor cell count as a biomarker of a specific gastric cancer subgroup characterized by bone metastasis and/or disseminated intravascular coagulation—an early indicator of chemotherapeutic response. *Oncol Lett* 11:1294–1298
 23. Wu L, Qu X (2015) Cancer biomarker detection: recent achievements and challenges. *Chem Soc Rev* 44:2963–2997
 24. Severi G, FitzGerald LM, Muller DC, Pedersen J, Longano A, Southey MC, Hopper JL, English DR, Giles GG, Mills J (2014) A three-protein biomarker panel assessed in diagnostic tissue predicts death from prostate cancer for men with localized disease. *Cancer Med* 3:1266–1274
 25. Shui B, Tao D, Florea A, Cheng J, Zhao Q, Gu Y, Li W, Jaffrezic-Renault N, Mei Y, Guo Z (2018) Biosensors for Alzheimer's disease biomarker detection: a review. *Biochimie* 147:13–24
 26. Lin PY, Cheng KL, McGuffin-Cawley JD, Shieu FS, Samia AC, Gupta S, Cooney M, Thompson CL, Liu CC (2012) Detection of Alpha-Methylacyl-CoA Racemase (AMACR), a biomarker of prostate cancer, in patient blood samples using a nanoparticle electrochemical biosensor. *Biosensors (Basel)* 2:377–387
 27. Parra-Cabrera C, Samitier J, Homs-Corbera A (2016) Multiple biomarkers biosensor with just-in-time functionalization: application to prostate cancer detection. *Biosens Bioelectron* 77:1192–1200
 28. Narwal V, Kumar P, Joon P, Pundir CS (2018) Fabrication of an amperometric sarcosine biosensor based on sarcosine oxidase/chitosan/CuNPs/c-MWCNT/Au electrode for detection of prostate cancer. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 113:44–51
 29. Geim AK, Novoselov KS (2007) The rise of graphene. *Nat Mater* 6:183–191
 30. Pena-Bahamonde J, Nguyen HN, Fanourakis SK, Rodrigues DF (2018) Recent advances in graphene-based biosensor technology with applications in life sciences. *J Nanobiotechnol* 16:75
 31. Kim J, Park SJ, Min DH (2017) Emerging approaches for graphene oxide biosensor. *Anal Chem* 89:232–248
 32. Tarro G, Perna A, Esposito C (2005) Early diagnosis of lung cancer by detection of tumor liberated protein. *J Cell Physiol* 203:1–5
 33. Borrebaeck CA (2017) Precision diagnostics: moving towards protein biomarker signatures of clinical utility in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 17:199–204
 34. Surinova S, Radova L, Choi M, Srovnal J, Brenner H, Vitek O, Hajduch M, Aebersold R (2015) Non-invasive prognostic protein biomarker signatures associated with colorectal cancer. *EMBO Mol Med* 7:1153–1165
 35. Morin PJ (2005) Claudin proteins in human cancer: promising new targets for diagnosis and therapy. *Cancer Res* 65:9603–9606
 36. Tang T, Yang C, Brown HE, Huang J (2018) Circulating heat shock protein 70 is a novel biomarker for early diagnosis of lung cancer. *Dis Markers* 2018:6184162
 37. Jung YJ, Katilius E, Ostroff RM, Kim Y, Seok M, Lee S, Jang S, Kim WS, Choi CM (2017) Development of a protein biomarker panel to detect non-small-cell lung cancer in Korea. *Clin Lung Cancer* 18:e99–e107
 38. Yang Z, Li DM, Xie Q, Dai DQ (2015) Protein expression and promoter methylation of the candidate biomarker TCF21 in gastric cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 141:211–220
 39. Zhuravski P, Arya SK, Jolly P, Tiede C, Tomlinson DC, Ko Ferrigno P, Estrela P (2018) Sensitive and selective Affimer-functionalised interdigitated electrode-based capacitive biosensor for Her4 protein tumour biomarker detection. *Biosens Bioelectron* 108:1–8
 40. Arya SK, Kongsuphol P, Park MK (2017) Off surface matrix based on-chip electrochemical biosensor platform for protein biomarker detection in undiluted serum. *Biosens Bioelectron* 92:542–548
 41. Ray S, Senapati T, Sahu S, Bandyopadhyaya R, Anand R (2018) Design of ultrasensitive protein biosensor strips for selective detection of aromatic contaminants in environmental wastewater. *Anal Chem* 90:8960–8968
 42. Stamey TA, Ekman PE, Blankenstein MA, Cooper EH, Kontturi M, Lilja H, Oesterling JE, Stenman UH, Turkes A (1994) Tumor markers. In: Consensus conference on diagnosis and prognostic parameters in localized prostate cancer. Stockholm, Sweden, May 12–13, 1993. *Scand J Urol Nephrol Suppl* 162:73–87. **(discussion 115–127)**
 43. Bok RA, Small EJ (2002) Bloodborne biomolecular markers in prostate cancer development and progression. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2:918–926
 44. Hernandez J, Thompson IM (2004) Prostate-specific antigen: a review of the validation of the most commonly used cancer biomarker. *Cancer* 101:894–904
 45. Liu N, Liang W, Ma X, Li X, Ning B, Cheng C, Ou G, Wang B, Zhang J, Gao Z (2013) Simultaneous and combined detection of multiple tumor biomarkers for prostate cancer in human serum by suspension array technology. *Biosens Bioelectron* 47:92–98
 46. Vergho DC, Heine K, Wolff JM (2005) The role of PSA in diagnosis of prostate cancer and its recurrence. *Pathologie* 26:473–478
 47. Bantis A, Grammaticos P (2012) Prostatic specific antigen and bone scan in the diagnosis and follow-up of prostate cancer. Can diagnostic significance of PSA be increased? *Hell J Nucl Med* 15:241–246
 48. Perez-Ibanez DC, Burciaga-Flores CH, Elizondo-Riojas MA (2018) Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) as a possible biomarker in non-prostatic cancer: a review. *Cancer Epidemiol* 54:48–55
 49. Polascik TJ, Oesterling JE, Partin AW (1999) Prostate specific antigen: a decade of discovery—what we have learned and where we are going. *J Urol* 162:293–306
 50. Stamey TA, Caldwell M, McNeal JE, Nolley R, Hemenez M, Downs J (2004) The prostate specific antigen era in the United States is over for prostate cancer: what happened in the last 20 years? *J Urol* 172:1297–1301
 51. Stephan C, Ralla B, Jung K (2014) Prostate-specific antigen and other serum and urine markers in prostate cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1846:99–112
 52. Israeli RS, Powell CT, Fair WR, Heston WD (1993) Molecular cloning of a complementary DNA encoding a prostate-specific membrane antigen. *Cancer Res* 53:227–230
 53. Bostwick DG, Pacelli A, Blute M, Roche P, Murphy GP (1998) Prostate specific membrane antigen expression in prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and adenocarcinoma: a study of 184 cases. *Cancer* 82:2256–2261
 54. Wright GL Jr, Haley C, Beckett ML, Schellhammer PF (1995) Expression of prostate-specific membrane antigen in normal, benign, and malignant prostate tissues. *Urol Oncol* 1:18–28
 55. Violet JA, Hofman MS (2017) Prostate-specific membrane antigen from diagnostic to therapeutic target: radionuclide therapy comes of age in prostate cancer. *BJU Int* 120:310–312
 56. Ristau BT, O'Keefe DS, Bacich DJ (2014) The prostate-specific membrane antigen: lessons and current clinical implications from 20 years of research. *Urol Oncol* 32:272–279
 57. Arsenault F, Beauregard JM, Pouliot F (2018) Prostate-specific membrane antigen for prostate cancer theranostics: from imaging to targeted therapy. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care* 12(3):359–365
 58. Afaq A, Bomanji J (2018) Prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography in the management of recurrent prostate cancer. *Br Med Bull* 128:37–48
 59. Reiter RE, Gu Z, Watabe T, Thomas G, Szigeti K, Davis E, Wahl M, Nisitani S, Yamashiro J, Le Beau MM, Loda M, Witte ON (1998) Prostate stem cell antigen: a cell surface marker overexpressed in prostate cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95:1735–1740
 60. Yang X, Guo Z, Liu Y, Si T, Yu H, Li B, Tian W (2014) Prostate stem cell antigen and cancer risk, mechanisms and therapeutic implications. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 14:31–37
 61. Ross S, Spencer SD, Holcomb I, Tan C, Hongo J, Devaux B, Rangell L, Keller GA, Schow P, Steeves RM, Lutz RJ, Frantz G, Hillan K, Peale F, Tobin P, Eberhard D, Rubin MA, Lasky LA, Koeppen H (2002) Prostate stem cell antigen as therapy target: tissue expression and in vivo efficacy of an immunoconjugate. *Cancer Res* 62:2546–2553
 62. Bargao Santos P, Patel HR (2014) Prostate stem cell antigen—novel biomarker and therapeutic target? *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 14:5–7
 63. Marszall MP, Sroka W, Adamowski M, Slupski P, Jarzemski P, Siodmiak J, Odrowaz-Sypniewska G (2015) Engrailed-2 protein as a potential urinary prostate cancer biomarker: a comparison study before and after digital rectal examination. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 24:51–56
 64. Feng T, Feng D, Shi W, Li X, Ma H (2012) A graphene oxide-peptide fluorescence sensor for proteolytically active prostate-specific antigen. *Mol Biosyst* 8:1441–1445
 65. Sadlowski C, Balderston S, Sandhu M, Hajian R, Liu C, Tran TP, Conboy MJ, Paredes J, Murthy N, Conboy IM, Aran K (2018) Graphene-based

- biosensor for on-chip detection of bio-orthogonally labeled proteins to identify the circulating biomarkers of aging during heterochronic parabiosis. *Lab Chip* 18:3230–3238
66. Wang L, Zhang Y, Wu A, Wei G (2017) Designed graphene-peptide nanocomposites for biosensor applications: a review. *Anal Chim Acta* 985:24–40
67. Liu Y, Dong X, Chen P (2012) Biological and chemical sensors based on graphene materials. *Chem Soc Rev* 41:2283–2307
68. Ryoo SR, Yim Y, Kim YK, Park IS, Na HK, Lee J, Jang H, Won C, Hong S, Kim SY, Jeon NL, Song JM, Min DH (2018) High-throughput chemical screening to discover new modulators of microRNA expression in living cells by using graphene-based biosensor. *Sci Rep* 8:11413
69. Klukova L, Filip J, Belicky S, Vikartovska A, Tkac J (2016) Graphene oxide-based electrochemical label-free detection of glycoproteins down to aM level using a lectin biosensor. *Analyst* 141:4278–4282
70. Xie H, Li YT, Lei YM, Liu YL, Xiao MM, Gao C, Pang DW, Huang WH, Zhang ZY, Zhang GJ (2016) Real-time monitoring of nitric oxide at single-cell level with porphyrin-functionalized graphene field-effect transistor biosensor. *Anal Chem* 88:11115–11122
71. Bianco A, Cheng HM, Enoki T, Gogotsi Y, Hurt RH, Koratkar N, Kyotani T, Monthieux M, Park CR, Tascon JMD, Zhang J (2013) All in the graphene family—a recommended nomenclature for two-dimensional carbon materials. *Carbon* 65:1–6
72. Suvarnaphaet P, Pechprasarn S (2017) Graphene-based materials for biosensors: a review. *Sensors (Basel)*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s17102161>
73. Tabish TA (2018) Graphene-based materials: the missing piece in nanomedicine? *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 504:686–689
74. Khalilzadeh B, Shadjou N, Afsharan H, Eskandani M, Nozad Charoudeh H, Rashidi MR (2016) Reduced graphene oxide decorated with gold nanoparticle as signal amplification element on ultra-sensitive electrochemiluminescence determination of caspase-3 activity and apoptosis using peptide based biosensor. *Bioimpacts* 6:135–147
75. Morales-Narvaez E, Merkoci A (2018) Graphene oxide as an optical biosensing platform: a progress report. *Adv Mater* 31:e1805043
76. Liu B, Huang PJ, Kelly EY, Liu J (2016) Graphene oxide surface blocking agents can increase the DNA biosensor sensitivity. *Biotechnol J* 11:780–787
77. Yang G, Li L, Lee WB, Ng MC (2018) Structure of graphene and its disorders: a review. *Sci Technol Adv Mater* 19:613–648
78. Kumar S, Kumar S, Srivastava S, Yadav BK, Lee SH, Sharma JG, Doval DC, Malhotra BD (2015) Reduced graphene oxide modified smart conducting paper for cancer biosensor. *Biosens Bioelectron* 73:114–122
79. Seifati SM, Nasirizadeh N, Azimzadeh M (2018) Nano-biosensor based on reduced graphene oxide and gold nanoparticles, for detection of phenylketonuria-associated DNA mutation. *IET Nanobiotechnol* 12:417–422
80. Munief WM, Lu X, Teucke T, Wilhelm J, Britz A, Hempel F, Lanche R, Schwartz M, Law JKY, Grandthyll S, Muller F, Neurohr JU, Jacobs K, Schmitt M, Pachauri V, Hempelmann R, Ingebrandt S (2018) Reduced graphene oxide biosensor platform for the detection of NT-proBNP biomarker in its clinical range. *Biosens Bioelectron* 126:136–142
81. Zhang HG, Hu H, Pan Y, Mao JH, Gao M, Guo HM, Du SX, Greber T, Gao HJ (2010) Graphene based quantum dots. *J Phys Condens Matter* 22:302001
82. Zeng X, Ma S, Bao J, Tu W, Dai Z (2013) Using graphene-based plasmonic nanocomposites to quench energy from quantum dots for signal-on photoelectrochemical aptasensing. *Anal Chem* 85:11720–11724
83. Zeng L, Wang R, Zhu L, Zhang J (2013) Graphene and CdS nanocomposite: a facile interface for construction of DNA-based electrochemical biosensor and its application to the determination of phenformin. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* 110:8–14
84. Li Y, Wang X, Gong J, Xie Y, Wu X, Zhang G (2018) Graphene based nanocomposites for efficient photocatalytic hydrogen evolution: insight into the interface toward separation of photogenerated charges. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 10:43760–43767
85. Li D, Zhang W, Yu X, Wang Z, Su Z, Wei G (2016) When biomolecules meet graphene: from molecular level interactions to material design and applications. *Nanoscale* 8:19491–19509
86. Zhang Y, Shen J, Li H, Wang L, Cao D, Feng X, Liu Y, Ma Y, Wang L (2016) Recent progress on graphene-based electrochemical biosensors. *Chem Rec* 16:273–294
87. Korkut S, Roy-Mayhew JD, Dabbs DM, Milius DL, Aksay IA (2011) High surface area tapes produced with functionalized graphene. *ACS Nano* 5:5214–5222
88. Liao C, Li Y, Tjong SC (2018) Graphene nanomaterials: synthesis, biocompatibility, and cytotoxicity. *Int J Mol Sci* 19:3564
89. Sakhnini LI, Pedersen AK, Ahmadian H, Hansen JJ, Bulow L, Dainiak M (2016) Designing monoclonal antibody fragment-based affinity resins with high binding capacity by thiol-directed immobilisation and optimisation of pore/ligand size ratio. *J Chromatogr A* 1468:143–153
90. Mao S, Lu G, Yu K, Bo Z, Chen J (2010) Specific protein detection using thermally reduced graphene oxide sheet decorated with gold nanoparticle-antibody conjugates. *Adv Mater* 22:3521–3526
91. Zhang J, Sun Y, Xu B, Zhang H, Gao Y, Zhang H, Song D (2013) A novel surface plasmon resonance biosensor based on graphene oxide decorated with gold nanorod-antibody conjugates for determination of transferrin. *Biosens Bioelectron* 45:230–236
92. Li H, Wei Q, He J, Li T, Zhao Y, Cai Y, Du B, Qian Z, Yang M (2011) Electrochemical immunosensors for cancer biomarker with signal amplification based on ferrocene functionalized iron oxide nanoparticles. *Biosens Bioelectron* 26:3590–3595
93. Mao K, Wu D, Li Y, Ma H, Ni Z, Yu H, Luo C, Wei Q, Du B (2012) Label-free electrochemical immunosensor based on graphene/methylene blue nanocomposite. *Anal Biochem* 422:22–27
94. Jang HD, Kim SK, Chang H, Choi JW (2015) 3D label-free prostate specific antigen (PSA) immunosensor based on graphene-gold composites. *Biosens Bioelectron* 63:546–551
95. Xu SJ, Liu Y, Wang TH, Li JH (2011) Positive potential operation of a cathodic electrogenerated chemiluminescence immunosensor based on luminol and graphene for cancer biomarker detection. *Anal Chem* 83:3817–3823
96. Wu D, Liu Y, Wang Y, Hu L, Ma H, Wang G, Wei Q (2016) Label-free electrochemiluminescent immunosensor for detection of prostate specific antigen based on aminated graphene quantum dots and carboxyl graphene quantum dots. *Sci Rep* 6:20511
97. Tu J, Gan Y, Liang T, Hu Q, Wang Q, Ren T, Sun Q, Wan H, Wang P (2018) Graphene FET array biosensor based on ssDNA aptamer for ultrasensitive Hg(2+) detection in environmental pollutants. *Front Chem* 6:333
98. Ray R, Basu J, Gazi WA, Samanta N, Bhattacharyya K, RoyChaudhuri C (2018) Label-free biomolecule detection in physiological solutions with enhanced sensitivity using graphene nanogrids FET biosensor. *IEEE Trans Nanobiosci* 17:433–442
99. Sharma B, Kim JS (2018) MEMS based highly sensitive dual FET gas sensor using graphene decorated Pd-Ag alloy nanoparticles for H₂ detection. *Sci Rep* 8:5902
100. Wang C, Cui X, Li Y, Li H, Huang L, Bi J, Luo J, Ma LQ, Zhou W, Cao Y, Wang B, Miao F (2016) A label-free and portable graphene FET aptasensor for children blood lead detection. *Sci Rep* 6:21711
101. Basu J, RoyChaudhuri C (2016) Graphene nanogrids FET immunosensor: signal to noise ratio enhancement. *Sensors (Basel)* 16:1481
102. Mukherjee S, Meshik X, Choi M, Farid S, Datta D, Lan Y, Poduri S, Sarkar K, Batteredene U, Huang CE, Wang YY, Burke P, Dutta M, Stroschio MA (2015) A graphene and aptamer based liquid gated FET-like electrochemical biosensor to detect adenosine triphosphate. *IEEE Trans Nanobiosci* 14:967–972
103. Farid S, Meshik X, Choi M, Mukherjee S, Lan Y, Parikh D, Poduri S, Batteredene U, Huang CE, Wang YY, Burke P, Dutta M, Stroschio MA (2015) Detection of Interferon gamma using graphene and aptamer based FET-like electrochemical biosensor. *Biosens Bioelectron* 71:294–299
104. Kakatkar A, Abhilash TS, De Alba R, Parpia JM, Craighead HG (2015) Detection of DNA and poly-L-lysine using CVD graphene-channel FET biosensors. *Nanotechnology* 26:125502
105. Kim DJ, Sohn IY, Jung JH, Yoon OJ, Lee NE, Park JS (2013) Reduced graphene oxide field-effect transistor for label-free femtomolar protein detection. *Biosens Bioelectron* 41:621–626
106. Wang Q, Ding Y, Gao F, Jiang S, Zhang B, Ni J, Gao F (2013) A sensitive DNA biosensor based on a facile sulfamide coupling reaction for capture probe immobilization. *Anal Chim Acta* 788:158–164

107. Ellington AD, Szostak JW (1990) In vitro selection of RNA molecules that bind specific ligands. *Nature* 346:818–822
108. Robertson DL, Joyce GF (1990) Selection in vitro of an RNA enzyme that specifically cleaves single-stranded DNA. *Nature* 344:467–468
109. Zhang LQ, Wan S, Jiang Y, Wang YY, Fu T, Liu QL, Cao ZJ, Qiu LP, Tan WH (2017) Molecular elucidation of disease biomarkers at the interface of chemistry and biology. *J Am Chem Soc* 139(7):2532–2540
110. Zhang J, Li S, Liu F, Zhou L, Shao N, Zhao X (2015) SELEX aptamer used as a probe to detect circulating tumor cells in peripheral blood of pancreatic cancer patients. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0121920
111. Chen C, Zhou S, Cai Y, Tang F (2017) Nucleic acid aptamer application in diagnosis and therapy of colorectal cancer based on cell-SELEX technology. *NPJ Precis Oncol* 1:37
112. Sedighian H, Halabian R, Amani J, Heiat M, Amin M, Fooladi AAI (2018) Staggered Target SELEX, a novel approach to isolate non-cross-reactive aptamer for detection of SEA by apta-qPCR. *J Biotechnol* 286:45–55
113. Kaur H (2018) Recent developments in cell-SELEX technology for aptamer selection. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj* 1862:2323–2329
114. Wang X, Li W, Li Z, Li H, Xu D (2015) A highly sensitive fluorescence turn-on platform with silver nanoparticles aptasensing for human platelet-derived growth factor-BB. *Talanta* 144:1273–1278
115. Liu J, Zeng J, Tian Y, Zhou N (2017) An aptamer and functionalized nanoparticle-based strip biosensor for on-site detection of kanamycin in food samples. *Analyst* 143:182–189
116. Eissa S, Zourob M (2017) Aptamer-based label-free electrochemical biosensor array for the detection of total and glycated hemoglobin in human whole blood. *Sci Rep* 7:1016
117. Aliakbarinodahi N, Jolly P, Bhalla N, Miodek A, De Micheli G, Estrela P, Carrara S (2017) Aptamer-based field-effect biosensor for tenofovir detection. *Sci Rep* 7:44409
118. Pan LH, Kuo SH, Lin TY, Lin CW, Fang PY, Yang HW (2017) An electrochemical biosensor to simultaneously detect VEGF and PSA for early prostate cancer diagnosis based on graphene oxide/ssDNA/PLLA nanoparticles. *Biosens Bioelectron* 89:598–605
119. Settu K, Liu JT, Chen CJ, Tsai JZ (2017) Development of carbon-graphene-based aptamer biosensor for EN2 protein detection. *Anal Biochem* 534:99–107
120. Song Y, Qu K, Zhao C, Ren J, Qu X (2010) Graphene oxide: intrinsic peroxidase catalytic activity and its application to glucose detection. *Adv Mater* 22:2206–2210
121. Qu FL, Li T, Yang MH (2011) Colorimetric platform for visual detection of cancer biomarker based on intrinsic peroxidase activity of graphene oxide. *Biosens Bioelectron* 26:3927–3931
122. Hu W, He G, Chen T, Guo CX, Lu Z, Selvaraj JN, Liu Y, Li CM (2014) Graphene oxide-enabled tandem signal amplification for sensitive SPRi immunoassay in serum. *Chem Commun* 50:2133–2135
123. Li W, Wu P, Zhang H, Cai C (2012) Signal amplification of graphene oxide combining with restriction endonuclease for site-specific determination of DNA methylation and assay of methyltransferase activity. *Anal Chem* 84:7583–7590
124. Liu M, Chen Q, Lai C, Zhang Y, Deng J, Li H, Yao S (2013) A double signal amplification platform for ultrasensitive and simultaneous detection of ascorbic acid, dopamine, uric acid and acetaminophen based on a nanocomposite of ferrocene thiolate stabilized Fe(3)O(4)@Au nanoparticles with graphene sheet. *Biosens Bioelectron* 48:75–81
125. Wang B, Akiba U, Anzai JI (2017) Recent progress in nanomaterial-based electrochemical biosensors for cancer biomarkers: a review. *Molecules* 22:1048
126. Han J, Zhuo Y, Chai YQ, Yuan R, Zhang W, Zhu Q (2012) Simultaneous electrochemical detection of multiple tumor markers based on dual catalysis amplification of multi-functionalized onion-like mesoporous graphene sheets. *Anal Chim Acta* 746:70–76
127. Sun G, Zhang L, Zhang Y, Yang H, Ma C, Ge S, Yan M, Yu J, Song X (2015) Multiplexed enzyme-free electrochemical immunosensor based on ZnO nanorods modified reduced graphene oxide-paper electrode and silver deposition-induced signal amplification strategy. *Biosens Bioelectron* 71:30–36
128. Feng JH, Li YY, Li MD, Li FY, Han J, Dong YH, Chen ZW, Wang P, Liu H, Wei Q (2017) A novel sandwich-type electrochemical immunosensor for PSA detection based on PtCu bimetallic hybrid (2D/2D) rGO/g-C₃N₄. *Biosens Bioelectron* 91:441–448
129. Sharafeldin M, Bishop GW, Bhakta S, El-Sawy A, Suib SL, Rusling JF (2017) Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles on graphene oxide sheets for isolation and ultrasensitive amperometric detection of cancer biomarker proteins. *Biosens Bioelectron* 91:359–366
130. Zheng Z, Wu L, Li L, Zong S, Wang Z, Cui Y (2018) Simultaneous and highly sensitive detection of multiple breast cancer biomarkers in real samples using a SERS microfluidic chip. *Talanta* 188:507–515
131. Nie Y, Zhang P, Wang H, Zhuo Y, Chai Y, Yuan R (2017) Ultrasensitive electrochemiluminescence biosensing platform for detection of multiple types of biomarkers toward identical cancer on a single interface. *Anal Chem* 89:12821–12827
132. Heydari-Bafrooei E, Shamszadeh NS (2017) Electrochemical bioassay development for ultrasensitive aptasensing of prostate specific antigen. *Biosens Bioelectron* 91:284–292
133. Na W, Liu Q, Sui B, Hu T, Su X (2016) Highly sensitive detection of acid phosphatase by using a graphene quantum dots-based forster resonance energy transfer. *Talanta* 161:469–475
134. Qu F, Li T, Yang M (2011) Colorimetric platform for visual detection of cancer biomarker based on intrinsic peroxidase activity of graphene oxide. *Biosens. Bioelectron* 26:3927–3931

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

