



**CHALMERS**  
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

## **Second variation of Selberg zeta functions and curvature asymptotics**

Downloaded from: <https://research.chalmers.se>, 2026-04-04 20:28 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Fedosova, K., Rowlett, J., Zhang, G. (2020). Second variation of Selberg zeta functions and curvature asymptotics. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*, 57(1): 23-60.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10455-019-09687-4>

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.



# Second variation of Selberg zeta functions and curvature asymptotics

Ksenia Fedosova<sup>1</sup> · Julie Rowlett<sup>2</sup> · Genkai Zhang<sup>2</sup>

Received: 17 January 2019 / Accepted: 6 September 2019 / Published online: 18 September 2019  
© The Author(s) 2019

## Abstract

We give an explicit formula for the second variation of the logarithm of the Selberg zeta function,  $Z(s)$ , on Teichmüller space. We then use this formula to determine the asymptotic behavior as  $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$  of the second variation. As a consequence, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain the complete expansion in  $m$  of the curvature of the vector bundle  $H^0(X_t, \mathcal{K}_t) \rightarrow t \in \mathcal{T}$  of holomorphic  $m$ -differentials over the Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ , for  $m$  large. Moreover, we show that this curvature agrees with the Quillen curvature up to a term of exponential decay,  $O(m^2 e^{-l_0 m})$ , where  $l_0$  is the length of the shortest closed hyperbolic geodesic.

**Keywords** Selberg zeta function · Selberg trace formula · Second variation · Plurisubharmonicity · Teichmüller theory · Zeta-regularized determinant · Higher Selberg zeta functions

**Mathematics Subject Classification** Primary: 11F72; Secondary: 30F60 · 32G15 · 30F30

## 1 Introduction

Selberg was one of many mathematicians for whom investigating the Riemann hypothesis would lead to deep results of broad interest, not only in analytic number theory but also in many other neighboring fields. To wit, Selberg's trace formula was one of the main inspirations of the Langlands program. The Selberg zeta function is shrouded with a certain mystique because it is defined in terms of quantities which are in general incomputable, namely the set of lengths of closed geodesics on a Riemannian manifold,

---

✉ Julie Rowlett  
julie.rowlett@chalmers.se

Ksenia Fedosova  
ksenia.fedosova@math.uni-freiburg.de

Genkai Zhang  
genkai@chalmers.se

<sup>1</sup> Mathematisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Ernst-Zermelo-Str. 1, 79104 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology, 412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

$$Z(s) := \prod_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} Z_\gamma(s), \quad Z_\gamma = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)}\right). \tag{1.1}$$

Here, the geometric setting is a compact Riemann surface,  $X$ , of genus  $g \geq 2$ , equipped with the hyperbolic metric of curvature  $-1$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the fundamental group of  $X$ . We may then fix  $X$  as the quotient of the upper half plane,  $\mathbb{H} = \{z = x + iy, y > 0\}$ , by  $\Gamma$ , so that  $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ . We say a hyperbolic element,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , is primitive if for all  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\gamma = \gamma_0^k$  it follows that  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$  and  $k = 1$ . Then  $\text{Prim}(0)$  in (1.1) is the set of conjugacy classes of primitive hyperbolic elements  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ , which is in canonical bijection with the set of primitive closed geodesics, and  $\ell(\gamma)$  is the geodesic length of the associated conjugacy class of  $\gamma$ .

It is clear from (1.1) that the Selberg zeta function is intimately linked to the Riemannian geometry of  $X$ . What is perhaps not so obvious is that it is also closely connected to the complex structure of  $X$ . To describe this, we fix  $S$ , the so-called *model surface* of genus  $g \geq 2$ . The Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_g$  of surfaces of genus  $g$  is the set of equivalence classes  $[(\Sigma, \varphi)]$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a Riemann surface, and  $\varphi : S \rightarrow \Sigma$  is a diffeomorphism, known as a *marking*. On each such surface,  $\Sigma$ , there is a unique Riemannian metric which has constant curvature  $-1$ , however in this notation the Riemannian metric is suppressed. The equivalence relation identifies

$$(\Sigma_1, \varphi_1) \sim (\Sigma_2, \varphi_2)$$

if there is an isometry  $I : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$  such that  $I$  and  $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$  are isotopic. Hence, from the Riemannian geometric perspective, these two surfaces are identical, in that they are topologically the same, and they are equipped with the same Riemannian metric.

One may also consider  $\mathcal{T}$  from a complex analytic perspective. For this purpose, we recall that a Beltrami differential,  $\mu$ , is a  $\Gamma$  invariant  $\partial_z \otimes d\bar{z}$  tensor on  $\mathbb{H}$ ; thus, we write  $\mu = \mu(z)\partial_z \otimes d\bar{z}$ . It is harmonic if  $\mu(z) = \overline{\phi(z)}y^2$ , and  $\phi = \phi(z)dz^2$  is a  $\Gamma$  invariant holomorphic quadratic differential. Ahlfors [2] showed that tangent vectors in  $\mathcal{T}_t$  for a point  $t = [(X, \varphi)] \in \mathcal{T}$  are represented by harmonic Beltrami differentials. To see this, for a harmonic Beltrami differential  $\mu$ , let  $f^\mu$  be the solution of the Beltrami equation

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\bar{z}} &= \mu(z) f_z, & z \in \mathbb{H}, \\ f_{\bar{z}} &= \overline{\mu(\bar{z})} f_z, & z \in \mathbb{L}, \\ f(0) &= 0, & f(1) = 1, & f(\infty) = \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\mathbb{L}$  is the lower half plane in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a fixed Beltrami differential  $\mu$  of (supremum) norm 1, let  $\varepsilon$  be a small complex number. Consider the Beltrami equation for  $\varepsilon\mu$  with solution  $f^{\varepsilon\mu}$ . Then, for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ ,  $f^{\varepsilon\mu}$  defines a Fuchsian group  $\Gamma^\varepsilon = f^{\varepsilon\mu}\Gamma(f^{\varepsilon\mu})^{-1}$ . The Riemann surfaces  $X^\varepsilon = \Gamma^\varepsilon \backslash \mathbb{H}$  define a curve in  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $X^0 = X$ . Hence, we identify unit tangent vectors in  $\mathcal{T}_t$  with harmonic Beltrami differentials of unit norm. Each of these in turn defines a local one parameter family of Riemann surfaces,  $X^\varepsilon$ . In this way we compute the variation of quantities defined on the surface  $X$  corresponding to the point  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  in the directions corresponding to these harmonic Beltrami differentials of unit norm. The stage is now set to present our main results.

### 1.1 Main results

Our first main result generalizes [15, Theorem 1.1.2] in which Gon computed a formula for the first variation of the log of the Selberg zeta function; this may be compared with our

**Proposition 4.** The variation is computed, in both our setting and that of Gon, by viewing the Selberg zeta function as a function on Teichmüller space,  $\mathcal{T}$ , defined for  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  as the Selberg zeta function on the corresponding Riemann surface equipped with the hyperbolic Riemannian metric of constant curvature  $-1$ .

**Theorem 1** For  $\Re(s) > 1$ , we have

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) A_\gamma(s) + \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} |\partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma)|^2 (A_\gamma(s) + B_\gamma(s)) \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$A_\gamma(s) = s \frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(s+k)\ell(\gamma)}{e^{(s+k)\ell(\gamma)} - 1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_\gamma(s) &= \left( s^2 \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log Z_\gamma(s) + 2s \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} + \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log \tilde{z}_\gamma(s)^{-1} \right) \\ &= -l(\gamma)^2 \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(s+k)^2 e^{(s+k)\ell(\gamma)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Above  $z_\gamma(s)$  and  $\tilde{z}_\gamma(s)$  are as in (3.15) and (3.17), respectively.

To avoid cumbersome notation, we have not included the explicit formulas for the first and second variations of the lengths of closed geodesics in the second variational formula above. These are contained in Sect. 3.1, Propositions 2 and 3, respectively.

In our next main result, we prove asymptotics of the second variation of  $\log Z(s)$  for  $\Re(s) \rightarrow \infty$ . To state the result, we require the set of systole geodesics,

$$S(X) := \{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0) : l(\gamma) = l_0\}, \quad l_0 = \inf\{l(\gamma) : \gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Correspondingly, listing all the systole geodesics as  $S(X) = \{l(\gamma_1), \dots, l(\gamma_N)\}$ , we define

$$\partial l_0 : \mu \in T_t^{(1,0)}(\mathcal{T}) \mapsto (\partial_\mu l(\gamma_1), \dots, \partial_\mu l(\gamma_N)) \in \mathbb{C}^N. \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$|\partial_\mu l_0|^2 := \sum_{S(X)} |\partial_\mu l(\gamma)|^2, \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log l_0 := \sum_{S(X)} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log l(\gamma). \tag{1.7}$$

**Theorem 2** If  $|\partial_\mu l_0|^2 \neq 0$ , then

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s)}{s^2 e^{-sl_0}} = -\frac{|\partial_\mu l_0|^2}{1 - e^{-l_0}} < 0. \tag{1.8}$$

For the Ruelle zeta function,  $R(s)$ , which is defined in (2.12),

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log R(s)}{s^2 e^{-sl_0}} = -\frac{|\partial_\mu l_0|^2}{1 - e^{-l_0}} < 0.$$

The square of the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of the resolvent, which is defined in Lemma 3, satisfies

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2}{e^{-sl_0}} = \frac{|\partial_\mu l_0|^2 l_0^2}{4(1 - e^{-l_0})} > 0.$$

In case  $|\partial_\mu l_0|^2 = 0$ , then we have

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s)}{se^{-sl_0}} = \frac{l_0}{1 - e^{-l_0}} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log l_0 > 0. \tag{1.9}$$

In this case, we also have for the Ruelle zeta function,

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log R(s)}{se^{-sl_0}} = \frac{l_0}{1 - e^{-l_0}} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log l_0 > 0.$$

The square of the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of the resolvent in this case satisfies

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2}{\frac{1}{s}e^{-sl_0}} = -\frac{l_0^2 \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log l_0}{4(1 - e^{-l_0})} < 0.$$

The zeta-regularized determinant,  $\det(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))$ , satisfies

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log \det(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1)) = \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s),$$

and therefore analogous results hold for its behavior as  $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$ .

It follows from the above theorem that the Hessian  $\bar{\partial} \partial \log Z(s)$  for large  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  is not positive definite on Teichmüller space,  $\mathcal{T}$ . More precisely for each fixed  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  let  $r = r(t)$  be the rank of the linear map (1.5). It follows from the result of Wolpert [38, Theorem 8] that the differential  $dl$  of the length function of one geodesic  $l$  is everywhere non-vanishing. In particular the  $\mathbb{R}^N$ -valued differential  $\partial l_0$  defined in (1.5) is non-vanishing, and  $1 \leq r \leq 3g - 3$ . It is also known (see [22, Theorem 3], [25]) that there exists  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  such that the number  $N$  of systole geodesics is bounded above by  $2g$ ,  $N \leq 2g$ , thus the rank  $r \leq 2g$  at  $t$ . Our result states then that if  $\mu \in T_t(\mathcal{T})$  is in the subspace  $\text{Ker} \partial l_0$  then the Hessian  $\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s)$  has positive sign for large  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and if  $\mu$  is in the orthogonal complement  $(\text{Ker} \partial l_0)^\perp$  then Hessian has negative sign for large  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the dimension of the two spaces being  $r$  and  $3g - 3 - r$ , respectively.

Assume now that  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . As a consequence of Theorem 2, we prove that the curvature,  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$ , of the vector bundle  $H^0(X_t, \mathcal{K}_t) \rightarrow t \in \mathcal{T}$  of holomorphic  $m$ -differentials over the Teichmüller space,  $\mathcal{T}$ , agrees with the Quillen curvature up to a term of exponential decay. In particular we obtain the full expansion of the  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$  in  $m$ .

**Corollary 1** *The curvature,  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$ , of the vector bundle  $H^0(X_t, \mathcal{K}_t) \rightarrow t \in \mathcal{T}$  over the Teichmüller space,  $\mathcal{T}$ , has the following expansion,*

$$\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu) = \frac{6m(m - 1) + 1}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2 + R(m), \quad m \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.10}$$

Here,  $\|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2$  is the square of the Petersson norm of  $\mu$ . The remainder

$$R(m) = O(m^2 e^{-ml_0}), \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

The corollary shows that  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$  and the Quillen curvature agree up to an exponentially small remainder term. This improves, in the case of Riemann surfaces, the result of Ma-Zhang [21] where the first two terms were found. In this sense, our result can be seen as a variational version of the result of Bismut–Vasserot [10] on the asymptotics of analytic torsion. It is also closely related to the curvature of the Quillen metric on Teichmüller space which has been studied in the general context of holomorphic families of Kähler manifolds [9].

## 1.2 Related works

To the best of our knowledge, the first result on plurisubharmonicity of naturally defined functions on Teichmüller space appeared in [36]. There, Wolpert showed that geodesic length functions are plurisubharmonic as functions on Teichmüller space. He has built upon and generalized those results in [32,37]. More recently, Axelsson and Schumacher [5], using Kähler geometric methods, established the plurisubharmonicity of each geodesic length function. They obtained this result as a corollary to formulas they demonstrated for the first and the second variations of the geodesic length as a function on Teichmüller space. The Weil-Petersson Hessian of length was also studied by Wolf [31] at the same time as Axelsson & Schumacher obtained their results.

Closely related to our work is that of Gon [15]. That context is more general because the underlying model surface in the definition of Teichmüller space is of type  $(g, n)$ , that is genus  $g$  and punctured at  $n$  points. Our work is only for  $(g, 0)$ , so that our surfaces are not punctured. The main result of [15] expresses the variation  $\partial_\mu \log Z_\Gamma(s)$  as a sum over conjugacy classes of primitive hyperbolic elements of certain quantities depending on local higher zeta functions and periods of the automorphic forms over the closed geodesic. The formula was obtained with the help of Takhtajan and Zograf's integral expression for the first variation of the Selberg zeta formula,

$$\partial_\mu \log Z_\Gamma(s) = \int_X F_s(z) \mu. \quad (1.11)$$

Above,  $F_s$  is a certain Poincaré series constructed from a second derivative of the resolvent kernel  $Q_s(z, z')$  of the Laplacian on the surface. More precisely,

$$F_s = \sum_{\gamma \neq e, \gamma \in \Gamma} \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial z'} Q_s(z, \gamma z') \right|_{z=z'},$$

where  $e$  denotes the identity element. Using the explicit formulas for  $Q_s(z, \gamma z')$ , Gon was able to express  $\partial_\mu \log Z_\Gamma(s)$  via the sum of local Selberg zeta functions [15, Theorem 1.1.2].

Our method is different. Instead of exploiting the integral formula of Zograf and Takhtajan, we show that it is possible to differentiate the definition of the Selberg zeta function, directly, as long as it converges. This serves our purposes well, because we are interested in arguments for which the product (1.1) converges. Next, we use the result of Axelsson and Schumacher [5] for the variation of the length of a geodesic. Interestingly, although our method is different, we arrive at the same formula as Gon. Moreover, our approach gives a geometric interpretation for the automorphic forms appearing in Gon's formula: the automorphic forms correspond to the variations of the lengths of the geodesics. Furthermore, with our method we are able to calculate the second variation of the Selberg zeta function as a sum over primitive closed geodesics of the surface.

Consequently, this method is applicable not only for studying the Selberg zeta function, but also to study all other functions that are defined in an analogous way. In particular, our techniques apply equally well to functions which are defined as a sum or product, over primitive closed geodesics, of quantities depending on the lengths of closed geodesics. To illustrate the utility of our method, we compute in Sect. 4.2 variational results for: the trace of the squared resolvent, the Ruelle zeta function, the zeta-regularized determinant of the Laplacian, and the hierarchy of higher Selberg zeta functions. For the definition of these higher zeta functions, see (2.14). These form a real-parameter family  $Z(s, t)$  of zeta functions which generalize the notion of the Selberg zeta function. Interestingly, the local version of these higher Selberg zeta functions also appear in Gon's formula. Here, we prove directly using their definitions a variational formula for the whole hierarchy which relates the variation of  $Z(s, t)$  to that of  $Z(s, t')$  for  $t' < t$ .

We would also like to mention related results obtained by Fay [13], who considered Selberg zeta functions twisted by a representation of  $\Gamma$ . It may be possible to generalize our results to that setting as well, if the key elements in the proofs are amenable to suitable adaptations. Finally there is a conjecture of Sarnak [25, p. 411] that the analytic torsion is a Morse function on Teichmüller space; understanding its second variation at critical points will be important in resolving the conjecture.

### 1.3 Key elements in the proofs

Initially, we prove the first and second variational formulas, Proposition 4 and Theorem 1, respectively, by differentiating the definition of the logarithm of the Selberg zeta function and verifying convergence. As we proceed directly using the sum over closed geodesics, we must compute the first and second variation of the length of each closed geodesic. To compute the first variation, although this would follow from [5], we compute in a more classical and direct way using Gardiner's formula, obtaining an equivalent but superficially different formula. However, unlike the first variational formula of [5], one can read-off the terms in Gon's formula directly from our Proposition 2.

The asymptotics of the second variation of  $\log Z(s)$  are obtained by locating the dominant term in our formula as  $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$ . When  $s = m \geq 0$  is an integer, the variation is the difference of the curvature of the vector bundle  $H^0(\Sigma_t, \mathcal{K}_t^m) \rightarrow t \in \mathcal{T}$  over the Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  and the Quillen curvature. The Quillen curvature is well known and is given by a second degree polynomial in  $m$ . We therefore obtain the full expansion of the curvature  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$ . Apart from the case of abelian varieties this seems the first case where a full expansion of  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$  has been obtained.

### 1.4 Further developments

In a subsequent paper [14] we shall demonstrate an integral formula for the second variation which holds for  $\Re(s) > 1$ , in the spirit of the integral formula of Takhtajan and Zograf, [39, Theorem 2] for  $s = m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall use this formula to define the curvature,  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$ , for non-integer  $m$ . The Teichmüller space and the vector bundle  $H^0(\mathcal{K}_t^m) \mapsto t \in \mathcal{T}$  of holomorphic  $m$ -differentials  $H^0(\mathcal{K}_t^m)$  over the Teichmüller space can be formulated in the general setup of relative ample line bundles for fibrations of Kähler manifolds [6]. In a recent preprint [30] the third author together with Wan has been able to prove a generalization of Corollary 2 in this general setup.

### 1.5 Organization

In the next section we gather definitions and notations and demonstrate the requisite preliminaries. In Sect. 3 we prove the first variational formula as well as estimates and a convergence result which will be used to justify convergence of the second variational formulas demonstrated in Sect. 4. The asymptotics of the second variation for  $\mathfrak{H}s \rightarrow \infty$  are computed in Sect. 5, which are then used to compute the asymptotics of the curvature of a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle. We conclude with an investigation of the special cases  $s = m \in \{1, 2\}$ . Finally, in the ‘‘Appendix’’ we provide a calculation of the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of the squared resolvent. Although the formula is known, our particular method of calculation is not contained in the literature to the best of our knowledge and therefore may be interesting or useful. This calculation is used to compute the variation of the Hilbert–Schmidt norm in Sect. 4.

## 2 Preliminaries

We fix notations and prepare the technical tools required for our proofs.

### 2.1 Hodge and $\bar{\partial}$ Laplacians on $L^2_{m,l}(X)$

We briefly recall a few known results for certain Laplace operators on Riemann surfaces which shall be important ingredients in the proofs of our results. Each point in Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_g$  is canonically identified with a compact Riemann surface,  $X$  of genus  $g \geq 2$ , which admits a unique Riemannian metric of constant curvature  $-1$ . Then,  $X$  is identified with the quotient  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the fundamental group of  $X$ , and  $\mathbb{H}$  is the upper half plane in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The hyperbolic metric in Euclidean coordinates on the upper half plane is given by

$$\rho(z)|dz|^2, \quad \rho(z) = y^{-2}, \quad \mathbb{H} = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z = y > 0\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let  $\Delta_0 = -y^2(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)$  be the Laplace operator on scalar functions. We note that

$$\Delta_0 = -4y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}.$$

For this reason, there are different normalizations of the Laplacian by different authors. Particularly relevant to our work is the definition in [28], who defined the Laplacian as

$$-y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{4} \Delta_0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the holomorphic cotangent bundle and  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$  the anti-holomorphic cotangent bundle over  $X$ . We also use the standard notation that  $\mathcal{K}^{-k} = (\mathcal{K}^k)^*$  is the dual bundle of  $\mathcal{K}^k$ . The scalar product on sections of  $\mathcal{K}^k \otimes \bar{\mathcal{K}}^l$  is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{k,l} := \int_X \langle f, g \rangle_z \rho(z) \left(\frac{i}{2}\right) dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \int_X f \bar{g} \rho^{1-k-l} dA. \tag{2.2}$$

The integration above is with respect to the Euclidean measure,  $dA = dx dy$ , and is taken over a fundamental domain of  $X$ . In the case of functions, we note that  $k = l = 0$ , and we may simply write the integral of a function  $\varphi$  on  $X$  as  $\int_X \varphi$ , suppressing the  $\rho dx dy$ .

Let  $L_{k,1}^2(X)$  be the corresponding Hilbert space. We write  $L_k^2(X) = L_{k,0}^2(X)$ . Denote by  $\nabla'_k$  the  $(1, 0)$  part of the Chern connection,  $\nabla_k = \nabla'_k + \nabla''$ ,  $\nabla'' = \bar{\partial}$ . Let  $\square = \square' = (\nabla')^*\nabla' + \nabla'(\nabla')^*$  and  $\square'' = \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}$  be the corresponding Laplace operators. The Chern connection  $\nabla = \nabla' + \bar{\partial}$  can be extended to sections of  $\mathcal{K}^m \otimes \bar{\mathcal{K}}$  as  $(0, 1)$ -forms with values in  $\mathcal{K}^m$ . Note that  $\square'' = \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*$  on  $(0, 1)$ -forms.

Let  $H^0(\mathcal{K}^k) = \text{Ker } \bar{\partial}$  be the space of holomorphic  $k$ -forms,  $k \geq 0$ , and  $H^{(0,1)}(\mathcal{K}^k)$  the  $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology of  $(k, 1)$ -forms,  $k \leq 1$ . Elements in  $H^{(0,1)}(\mathcal{K}^{-1})$  are represented by the harmonic Beltrami differentials  $\mu = \mu(z)(dz)^{-1}d\bar{z}$  and are identified with  $H^0(\mathcal{K}^2)$  via the duality,

$$\phi(z) := \rho(z)\overline{\mu(z)} = y^{-2}\overline{\mu(z)}, \quad \phi := \phi(z)(dz)^2 \in H^0(X_t, \mathcal{K}^2). \tag{2.3}$$

The following Lemma is a consequence of the general well-known Kodaira–Nakano-type formulas [12, Chapter VII, Section 1]. For completeness, we provide an elementary proof.

**Lemma 1** *On the space  $L_{k,1}^2(X)$  of  $(0, 1)$  forms with coefficients in  $\mathcal{K}^k$  the operators  $(\nabla')^*\nabla'$ ,  $\nabla'(\nabla')^*$ , and  $\square'' = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*$  are related by*

$$(\nabla')^*\nabla' = \nabla'(\nabla')^* + \frac{k-1}{2} = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*.$$

**Proof** The Chern connection  $\nabla'$  (also called Maass operator) is given by  $\nabla'(f(z)(dz)^k d\bar{z}) = y^{-2k}\partial(f(z)y^{2k})(dz)^{k+1}d\bar{z}$  and  $\bar{\partial}(f(z)(dz)^k) = \bar{\partial}f(z)(dz)^k d\bar{z}$ . Thus their adjoints are

$$(\nabla')^*(f(z)(dz)^{k+1}d\bar{z}) = -\bar{\partial}(y^2 f(z))(dz)^k d\bar{z}$$

and

$$(\bar{\partial})^*(f(z)(dz)^k d\bar{z}) = -y^{2-2k}\partial(y^{2k} f(z))(dz)^k.$$

We have thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla')^*\nabla'(f(z)(dz)^k d\bar{z}) &= \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*(f(z)(dz)^k d\bar{z}) = g(z)(dz)^k d\bar{z}, \\ g(z) &= -\bar{\partial}(y^{2-2k}\partial(y^{2k} f(z))), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nabla'(\nabla')^*(f(z)(dz)^k d\bar{z}) = h(z)(dz)^k d\bar{z}, \quad h(z) = -y^{-2(k-1)}\partial(y^{2(k-1)}\bar{\partial}(y^2 f(z))).$$

The operators  $f = f(z)(dz)^k d\bar{z} \mapsto g = (\nabla')^*\nabla' f$ , and  $f \mapsto h = \nabla'(\nabla')^* f$  then differ by a constant. More precisely

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= -y^2\bar{\partial}\partial f(z) - iy\partial f(z) + ik y\bar{\partial} f(z) - \frac{k}{2}f(z), \\ h(z) &= -y^2\bar{\partial}\partial f(z) - iy\partial f(z) + ik y\bar{\partial} f(z) - \frac{2k-1}{2}f(z) \end{aligned}$$

and  $g(z) = h(z) + \frac{k-1}{2}f(z)$ . This completes the proof. □

### 2.2 The Weil–Petersson metric on Teichmüller space

We introduce the Weil–Petersson metric following [29], and we take the opportunity to recall as so nicely done there the origins of this metric. Petersson introduced an inner product on the spaces of modular forms of arbitrary weight in the context of number theory. Observing that modular forms of weight two are precisely holomorphic quadratic differentials, André Weil remarked in a letter to Lars Ahlfors that Petersson’s inner product should give rise to a Riemannian metric on Teichmüller space. This is indeed the case, and Ahlfors went on to prove [1] that the holomorphic sectional curvature and the Ricci curvature of  $\mathcal{T}$  with respect to this metric, known as the Weil–Petersson metric, are both negative. However, this metric is not complete, which was demonstrated by Wolpert [33].

At each point  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ , we may identify the holomorphic tangent vectors at  $t$  with harmonic Beltrami differentials,  $\mu$ . The Weil–Petersson metric is defined by

$$\langle \mu, \mu \rangle := \langle \mu, \mu \rangle_{WP} := \int_X |\mu|^2 := \int_X |\mu(z)|^2 \rho(z) dA(z).$$

This defines a Hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{T}$ , which taking the real part defines a Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{T}$ , but we shall only be interested in the Hermitian Weil–Petersson metric on  $\mathcal{T}$ .

### 2.3 The curvature of vector bundles on Teichmüller space

For each point  $t \in \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_g$ , the Teichmüller space of marked surfaces of genus  $g$ , we denote the corresponding Riemann surface as  $X_t$ . When it is clear from context, we may simply write  $X$ . The holomorphic tangent vectors at each  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mu \in T_t^{(1,0)}(\mathcal{T})$ , are identified with harmonic Beltrami differentials  $\mu \in H^{(0,1)}(X_t, \mathcal{K}^{-1})$ . We may also identify  $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$  with  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ , with the observation that  $(\partial_z)^*(\partial_z) = 1 = dz \otimes \partial_z$ . Thus we write in terms of a local coordinate,  $z$ ,

$$T_t^{(1,0)}(\mathcal{T}) \ni \mu = \mu(z) d\bar{z} \partial_z.$$

The cohomology  $H^{(0,1)}(X_t, \mathcal{K}^{-1})$  is identified further with  $H^0(X_t, \mathcal{K}^2)$  as in (2.3). In this way, we have an anti-complex linear identification between  $T_t^{(1,0)}(\mathcal{T})$  with the holomorphic quadratic differential  $H^0(X_t, \mathcal{K}^2)$ , namely the dual of  $T_t^{(1,0)}(\mathcal{T})$  with  $H^0(X_t, \mathcal{K}^2)$ .

Let  $m \geq 1$ . The map  $H^0(X_t, \mathcal{K}_t^m) \mapsto t \in \mathcal{T}$  can be used to define a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle over the Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ . More precisely,  $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is a smooth proper holomorphic fibration of complex manifolds of (complex) dimensions  $3g - 2$  and  $3g - 3$ , respectively, such that for each point  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ , the fiber over  $t$  is the surface,  $X_t$ . In the language of [7],  $Y = \mathcal{T}$ , and the relative (complex) dimension,  $n = 1$ . We then consider the holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_t} = \mathcal{K}_{X_t}^{m-1} := \mathcal{K}_t$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is equipped with a smooth metric of positive curvature; the positivity follows from [35, Lemma 5.8]. Moreover, Wolpert also showed in that work that  $\mathcal{X}$  is equipped with a Kähler metric.

The direct image sheaf of the relative canonical bundle twisted with  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

$$p_*(\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{T}})$$

is then associated to the vector bundle,  $E$ , over  $\mathcal{T}$ , with fibers

$$E_t = H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}_t} + \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_t}) = H^0(X_t, \mathcal{K}_{X_t}^m).$$

Thus, an element in  $E_t$  is a holomorphic  $(1, 0)$  form,  $u$ , on  $X_t$  with values in  $\mathcal{L}|_{X_t}$ . The Kodaira–Spencer map at a point  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is a map from the holomorphic tangent space to the first Dolbeault cohomology group,  $H^{0,1}(X_t, T^{1,0}(X_t))$  of  $X_t$  with values in the holomorphic tangent space of  $X_t$ . The image of this map is known as the *Kodaira–Spencer class*,  $K_t$ . This class has a natural action on  $u \in E_t$ , which is denoted by  $K_t \cdot u$ . If  $k_t$  is a vector-valued  $(0, 1)$  form in  $K_t$ , then

$$K_t \cdot u := [k_t \cdot u] \in H^{(0,1)}(X_t, \mathcal{K}_{X_t}^{m-1}). \tag{2.4}$$

In this setting, we note that the harmonic Beltrami differential,  $\mu$ , is in the Kodaira–Spencer class,  $K_t$ , so we may take  $k_t = \mu$  above. Then, the action  $\mu \cdot u$  is well-defined defined via  $k_t \cdot u$ .

We recall the curvature formula of Berndtsson [6,7] for general relative direct image bundle specified to our case above. Interestingly, it is precisely the curvature of this bundle which shall appear in our second variational formula for the logarithm of the Selberg zeta function at integer points. Fix  $X = X_t$  and denote

$$f(\mu) = (1 + \square_0)^{-1} |\mu|^2 \tag{2.5}$$

where  $\square_0 = 2\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}$  is the Laplacian on functions. Although  $\mu(z)$  is not a well-defined pointwise function,  $|\mu|^2 = |\mu(z)|^2 |d\bar{z}|^2 |\partial_z|^2$  is indeed well-defined pointwise. Similarly for an element  $u \in E_t$ ,  $|u|^2$  is also a well-defined pointwise function.

**Proposition 1** *The curvature  $R^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$  of the bundle  $H^0(X_t, \mathcal{K}_t^m) \rightarrow t \in \mathcal{T}$  is given by*

$$\langle R^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)u, u \rangle = \|[ \mu \cdot u ]\|^2, \text{ for } m = 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Above,  $[ \mu \cdot u ]$  is defined in (2.4), and the norm is with respect to the natural Kähler metric on the bundle  $E$  induced by the Kähler metric on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The norm is taken with respect to the unique harmonic representative in the class  $[ \mu \cdot u ]$ . For  $m \geq 2$ , the curvature

$$\langle R^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)u, u \rangle = (m - 1) \int_X f(\mu) |u|^2 + \frac{m - 1}{2} \left\langle \left( \square'' + \frac{m - 1}{2} \right)^{-1} (\mu \cdot u), (\mu \cdot u) \right\rangle. \tag{2.7}$$

Above,  $\square'' = \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* = (\nabla')^* \nabla'$  is the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacian acting on  $(m - 1, 1)$ -forms  $\mu \cdot u$  in Lemma 1.

Letting  $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^{d_m}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H^0(\mathcal{K}^m)$ , the curvature,

$$\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu) = \text{Tr } R^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$$

is given by

$$\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \|[ \mu \cdot u_j ]\|^2, \text{ for } m = 1,$$

where the norm is the same as in (2.6). For  $m \geq 2$ , the curvature

$$\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu) = I^{(m)} + II^{(m)}$$

with

$$I^{(m)} = (m - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \int_X f(\mu) |u_j|^2$$

and

$$II^{(m)} = \frac{m-1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \left\langle \left( \square'' + \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^{-1} (\mu \cdot u_j), \mu \cdot u_j \right\rangle$$

for  $m \geq 2$ .

**Proof** For  $m = 2$ , this curvature formula is due to Wolpert; see [35, Theorem 4.2]. The results are proved in [6, 7] for the general setup, and we specify them to our case. We also show how the result in [27] for our case is a consequence of the general results. The fibration is now the Teichmüller curve, denoted  $\mathcal{X}$  above;  $\mathcal{X}$  is the natural fiber space over Teichmüller space,  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_g$ . The fiber,  $\mathcal{X}_t$ , for  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  is the Riemann surface  $X = X_t$ . The line bundle  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K}^{m-1}$  whose restriction on each surface  $X$  is  $\mathcal{K}_X^{m-1}$ . The metric, indicated by  $e^{-\phi}$  in [7] on  $\mathcal{K}$  is in our case  $\rho^{-1} = y^2$  on each fiber  $X$ . More precisely, on each fiber,  $X$  the metric is  $\rho(z)|dz|^2$ , with  $\rho(z) = y^{-2}$ , defined via the identification of  $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ . The harmonic Beltrami differential  $\mu$  here is a representative of the element  $k_t$  in the Kodaira–Spencer class as described above. The first formula (2.6) for  $m = 1$  is now an immediate consequence of [7, Theorem 1.1].

To state the curvature formula in [7, Theorem 1.2] for  $m \geq 2$  we recall that the metric on  $\mathcal{K}^{m-1}$  is  $e^{-\psi} = y^{2m-2}$ , with  $\psi = (m-1)\phi$ . The complex gradient  $V_\psi$ , of  $\psi$  with respect to the fixed potential  $\phi$  (see [7, p. 1213]) can be chosen as  $V_\psi = V_\phi$ . The corresponding Kodaira–Spencer class  $k_t^{(m-1)\phi}$  is represented by  $\bar{\partial}V_\phi$  restricted to the fiber space and is thus also  $k_t$ , so that

$$k_t^{(m-1)\phi} = k_t.$$

We put  $\eta = -k_t \cdot u = -\mu \cdot u$ , a  $(m-1, 1)$ -form. The curvature formula in [7, p. 1214–1215] reads as follows

$$\langle R^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)u, u \rangle = \int_X c((m-1)\phi)|u|^2 e^{-\phi} + \|\eta\|^2 - \|\xi\|^2.$$

Here,  $\xi$  is the  $L^2$ -minimal solution of the  $\bar{\partial}$  equation,

$$\bar{\partial}\xi = -\nabla'\eta.$$

The function  $c((m-1)\phi)$  is linear in  $m-1$  by its definition [7, (1.2)], and so we have  $c((m-1)\phi) = (m-1)c(\phi)$ . Moreover, as explained in [7, p. 1217], it follows from Schumacher’s formula [26, Proposition 3] that

$$c(\phi) = (1 + \square_0)^{-1} |\mu|^2 = f(\mu).$$

The equation for  $\xi$  is now solved by

$$\xi = -(\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial})^{-1} \bar{\partial}^* \nabla' \eta = -\bar{\partial}^* (\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*)^{-1} \nabla' \eta.$$

Thus

$$\|\xi\|^2 = -\langle \bar{\partial}^* (\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*)^{-1} \nabla' \eta, \xi \rangle = \langle (\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*)^{-1} \nabla' \eta, \nabla' \eta \rangle$$

Now by Lemma 1 we have  $\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* = \nabla' (\nabla')^* + \frac{m-1}{2}$  on the  $(m, 1)$  form  $\nabla' \eta$  and

$$(\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*)^{-1} \nabla' = \left( \nabla' (\nabla')^* + \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^{-1} \nabla' = \nabla' \left( (\nabla')^* \nabla' + \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^{-1}$$

on the  $(m - 1, 1)$  form  $\eta$ . Hence,

$$\|\xi\|^2 = \left\langle \nabla' \left( (\nabla')^* \nabla' + \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^{-1} \eta, \nabla' \eta \right\rangle = \left\langle \left( (\nabla')^* \nabla' + \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^{-1} \eta, (\nabla')^* \nabla' \eta \right\rangle$$

and finally

$$\|\eta\|^2 - \|\xi\|^2 = \frac{m-1}{2} \left\langle \left( (\nabla')^* \nabla' + \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^{-1} \eta, \eta \right\rangle = \frac{m-1}{2} \left\langle \left( \square'' + \frac{m-1}{2} \right)^{-1} \eta, \eta \right\rangle,$$

using again Lemma 1. This completes the proof. □

**Remark 1** Since the sum  $\sum_j |u|_j^2$  in  $I^{(m)}$  is the Bergman kernel, its expansion [20] for large  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  could be used to compute the expansion of  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$ . Here we instead compute the explicit formula for the second variation of the Selberg zeta function because it contains much detailed geometric data. In this way we obtain the asymptotic expansion of  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$  in  $m$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  as a corollary. We note that in [27] the second term  $\frac{m-1}{2} \langle (\square'' + \frac{m-1}{2})^{-1} \eta, \eta \rangle$  above appears as  $(m-1) \langle (\Delta + m-1)^{-1} \eta, \eta \rangle$  where  $\Delta$  is the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacian,  $\square''$ . The discrepancy with our formula is due to our definition of the norms on the  $L^2$ -spaces of  $(m, 1)$  forms, so with this consideration, our formulas agree.

### 2.4 Zeta-regularized determinant, analytic torsion, Ruelle and higher zeta functions

Let  $\det(\Delta_0 + s(s-1))$  be the zeta-regularized determinant of the Laplacian on scalars. This is defined through the spectral zeta function. For  $\Re(s) > 1$  and  $\Re(z) > 1$ , this spectral zeta function is defined by

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\lambda_k + s(s-1))^{-z},$$

where  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_0$ . In the special case  $s = 1$ , the sum above is only taken over the nonzero eigenvalues of  $\Delta_0$ . It is well known that the spectral zeta function admits a meromorphic extension to  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  which is regular at  $z = 0$ . The determinant is then defined to be  $\exp(-\zeta'(0))$ .

This determinant is closely related to the Selberg zeta function (1.1). By [24, Theorem 1] the determinant,  $\det(\Delta_0 + s(s-1))$ , and the Selberg zeta function,  $Z(s)$ , are related by

$$\det(\Delta_0 + s(s-1)) = Z(s) \left( e^{E-s(s-1)} \frac{\Gamma_2(s)^2}{\Gamma(s)} (2\pi)^s \right)^{2g-2}, \quad \Re(s) > 1. \tag{2.8}$$

Above,  $g$  is the genus,  $\Gamma_2(s)$  is the Barnes double gamma function, defined by the canonical product

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_2(s+1)} = (2\pi)^{s/2} e^{-s/2 - \frac{\gamma+1}{2}s^2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{s}{k} \right)^k e^{-s+s^2/2k}, \quad \gamma \text{ is Euler's constant,}$$

and

$$E = -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) + 2 \left( \frac{1}{12} - \log(A) \right).$$

Above,  $A$  is the Glaisher–Kinkelin constant.<sup>1</sup> In the special case  $s = 1$ , we have

$$\det(\Delta_0) = Z'(1)e^{(2g-2)(-1/(12)-2\log(A)+(\log(2\pi))/2)}. \tag{2.9}$$

### 2.4.1 Holomorphic analytic torsion

Holomorphic analytic torsion, or  $\bar{\partial}$ -torsion, as Ray & Singer originally introduced in their pioneering work [23], is a complex analogue of analytic torsion. To define it, let  $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$  be the set of  $C^\infty$  complex  $(p, q)$ -forms on  $X$ . Then the exterior differential  $d$  splits as

$$d = d' + d'',$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d' : \mathcal{D}^{p,q} &\mapsto \mathcal{D}^{p+1,q}, \\ d'' : \mathcal{D}^{p,q} &\mapsto \mathcal{D}^{p,q+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $D_{p,q}$  be the corresponding Laplacian,

$$D_{p,q} = *d' * d'' + d'' * d' * : \mathcal{D}^{p,q} \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{p,q}.$$

We note that defined in this way, as in [23], the eigenvalues of this operator are non-positive. Thus, they defined the associated spectral zeta function,

$$\zeta_{D_{p,q}}(s) = \sum_{\lambda_n \neq 0} (-\lambda_n)^{-s}$$

for  $\Re(s)$  large. This zeta function may also be expressed in terms of the Mellin transform of the heat trace. In this way, using the short time asymptotic expansion of the heat trace, one can prove that  $\zeta_{D_{p,q}}$  extends to a meromorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}$  which is regular at  $s = 0$ . One may therefore make the following

**Definition 1** For each  $p = 0, \dots, N$ , where  $N$  is the complex dimension of  $X$ , the holomorphic analytic torsion,  $T_p(X)$ , is defined by

$$\log T_p(X) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=0}^N (-1)^q q \zeta'_{D_{p,q}}(0).$$

In our case,  $N = 1$ , and so there are two holomorphic analytic torsions,

$$T_0(X) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\zeta'_{D_{0,1}}(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad T_1(X) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\zeta'_{D_{1,1}}(0)}. \tag{2.10}$$

It is well known that the nonzero eigenvalues of  $D_{0,1}$  coincide with those of  $D_{0,0} = \Delta_0$  as do those of  $D_{1,1}$  [8]. We therefore have

$$T_0(X) = \sqrt{\det(\Delta_0)} = T_1(X). \tag{2.11}$$

<sup>1</sup> The term with  $\frac{1}{12} - \log(A)$  comes from the derivative of the Riemann zeta function at  $-1$ .

### 2.4.2 Ruelle and higher zeta functions

We shall also consider the Ruelle zeta function. For  $\Re(s) > 1$ , the Ruelle zeta function is

$$R(s) := \prod_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} (1 - e^{-sI(\gamma)}). \tag{2.12}$$

Above,  $\text{Prim}(0)$  denotes the set of conjugacy classes of primitive hyperbolic elements in  $\Gamma$ .

The last type of zeta functions which are relevant to our present work are the higher Selberg zeta functions, the first of which was introduced and studied by Kurokawa and Wakayama [19]:

$$z(s) := \prod_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} z_\gamma(s), \quad z_\gamma(s) := \prod_{k=1}^\infty (1 - e^{-I(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)})^{-k}. \tag{2.13}$$

More generally, this notion of higher Selberg zeta function was generalized in [16] who defined a whole procession of higher Selberg zeta functions. For  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\Re(s) > 1$ , let

$$z(s, t) := \prod_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} z_\gamma(s, t), \quad z_\gamma(s, t) := \prod_{k=0}^\infty (1 - e^{-I(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)})^{\binom{t+k-1}{k}}. \tag{2.14}$$

## 3 First variation

In this section we compute the first variation of  $\log Z(s)$ . We start by computing the variation of the length of an individual closed geodesic,  $\partial_\mu I(\gamma)$ .

### 3.1 Variation of the length of a closed geodesic

We shall demonstrate a variational formula for the length of a closed geodesic in Proposition 2 using [5, Theorem 1.1]. There, Axelsson & Schumacher worked in the more general context of families of Kähler–Einstein manifolds. Here, we note that by [2, Theorem 5], for a neighborhood (with respect to the Weil–Petersson metric) in Teichmüller space, the corresponding family of Riemann surfaces, each equipped with the unique hyperbolic Riemannian metric of constant curvature  $-1$ , form a holomorphic family. Thus, we are indeed in the setting of [5]. Related works include [4,34], and [15].

Here we shall prove our variational formula, Proposition 4 using Gardiner’s formula, [18, Theorem 8.3]. This formula states that the variation  $\partial_\mu I(\gamma)$  is given by<sup>2</sup>

$$\partial_\mu I(\gamma) = \left( \mu, \frac{1}{\pi} \Theta_\gamma \right) \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\Theta_\gamma$  is [18, p. 224]

$$\Theta_\gamma = \sum_{\kappa \in \langle \gamma \rangle \setminus \Gamma} (\omega_\gamma \circ \kappa) \cdot (\kappa')^2.$$

Above,  $\omega_\gamma(z) = \left( \frac{a-b}{(z-a)(z-b)} \right)^2$ , where  $a, b \in \partial\mathbb{H}$  are the fixed points of  $\gamma$ ,  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  is the cyclic subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\gamma$ , and  $\kappa'$  denotes the derivative of  $\kappa$ . We also recall (c.f. [15])

<sup>2</sup> We note that in [18, Theorem 8.3], they considered the real variation, so they have  $\Re \left( \mu, \frac{2}{\pi} \Theta_\gamma \right)$ . We are taking the complex variation, and so we have the formula above for the variation.

that for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the vector field

$$q_\gamma(z) = (cz^2 + (d - a)z - b) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tag{3.2}$$

satisfies

$$(\sigma^{-1})_* q_\gamma(z) = q_{\sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma}(z), \quad \forall \sigma \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R}).$$

In particular, the integral

$$\int_{z_0}^{\gamma z_0} \varphi(z)(dz)^2 q_\gamma(z) = \int_{z_0}^{\gamma z_0} \varphi(z)(cz^2 + (d - a)z - b) dz. \tag{3.3}$$

is independent of both the path and the starting point  $z_0$  for any weight 4 holomorphic modular form,  $\varphi$  for  $\Gamma$ . We may therefore use this integral to define the period integral as in [15, Definition 1.1.1].

**Definition 2** For any weight 4 holomorphic modular form  $\varphi$  for  $\Gamma$  and a hyperbolic element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we define the period integral  $\alpha(\gamma, \varphi)$  by (3.3).

**Proposition 2** *The variation of the length  $l(\gamma)$  is given by*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} l(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma \mu(z(t)) \overline{\dot{z}(t)}^2 \rho(z(t)) dt = -\frac{\overline{\alpha(\gamma, \phi)}}{4 \sinh(l(\gamma)/2)}.$$

Above,  $z = z(t)$  is a parametrization of the geodesic representing  $\gamma$  with  $\|\dot{z}(t)\| = 1$ , and the integration is over the footprint of  $\gamma$  in  $X$ . We note that the variation is independent of the choice of parametrization, and  $\phi$  is defined via  $\mu$  as in (2.3).

**Proof** We can assume  $\gamma$  is the diagonal matrix  $\gamma = \text{diag}(e^{\frac{l}{2}}, e^{-\frac{l}{2}})$  with  $l = l(\gamma)$ . We choose

$$F_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{H}; 1 < |z| < e^l\}$$

as a fundamental domain of the cyclic subgroup  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ . Gardiner’s formula (3.1) can now be written as (see [18, Theorem 8.3, pp. 226–227])

$$\partial_\mu l(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{F_0} \frac{\mu(z)}{z^2} dx dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{F_0} \frac{\bar{\phi}(z)y^2}{z^2} dx dy.$$

Writing in polar coordinates  $z = e^t e^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 < \theta < \pi$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq l$ ,  $dx dy = e^{2t} dt d\theta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\partial_\mu l(\gamma)} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{F_0} \frac{\phi(z)y^2}{(\bar{z})^2} dx dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^l \phi(e^t e^{i\theta}) \frac{(e^t \Im e^{i\theta})^2}{(e^t e^{-i\theta})^2} e^{2t} dt d\theta \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\pi \int_0^l \phi(e^t e^{i\theta}) (1 - e^{2i\theta})^2 e^{2t} dt d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Consider the segment,  $R_\theta$ , defined by  $z(t) = e^t e^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq l$ . Then the vector field  $q(z) = q_\gamma(z)$  from (3.2) is

$$q(z) = -2 \left( \sinh \frac{l(\gamma)}{2} \right) \frac{d}{dt}$$

and the quadratic form

$$\phi(z)(dz)^2 = \phi(e^t e^{i\theta}) e^{2t} e^{2i\theta} (dt)^2.$$

Hence,

$$\alpha(\gamma, \phi) = \int_{R_\theta} \phi(z)(dz)^2 q(z) = -2 \left( \sinh \frac{l(\gamma)}{2} \right) \int_0^l \phi(e^t e^{i\theta}) e^{2t} e^{2i\theta} dt. \tag{3.5}$$

With the small observation that  $(\dot{z}(t))^2 = (z(t))^2$ , we also have

$$-\frac{\alpha(\gamma, \phi)}{4 \left( \sinh \frac{l(\gamma)}{2} \right)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma \phi(z(t)) (\dot{z}(t))^2 dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma \overline{\mu(z(t))} (\dot{z}(t))^2 \rho(z(t)) dt. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that the integral in (3.5) does not depend on the path nor the starting point. Consequently, for any  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ ,

$$\int_0^l \phi(e^t e^{i\theta}) e^{2t} e^{2i\theta} dt = - \int_0^l \phi(e^t i) e^{2t} dt =: C,$$

and

$$\alpha(\gamma, \phi) = -2 \left( \sinh \frac{l(\gamma)}{2} \right) C. \tag{3.7}$$

Substituting  $C$  into (3.4), we obtain

$$\overline{\partial_\mu l(\gamma)} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{4} C \int_0^\pi (1 - e^{2i\theta})^2 e^{-2i\theta} d\theta = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{4} C (-2\pi) = \frac{1}{2} C.$$

By (3.4), (3.6), and (3.7)

$$\overline{\partial_\mu l(\gamma)} = \frac{1}{2} C = -\frac{\alpha(\gamma, \phi)}{4 \sinh(l(\gamma)/2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma \overline{\phi(z(t))} \dot{z}(t)^2 dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma \mu(z(t)) \overline{\dot{z}(t)^2} \rho(z(t)) dt.$$

□

**Remark 2** Our approach to compute the variation of the length may be compared to Wolpert’s in [35].

We also recall the second variation formula of Axelsson–Schumacher [5, Theorem 6.2].

**Proposition 3** *The variation  $\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu l$  of  $l = l(\gamma)$  is given by*

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu l = \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma \left( (\square_0 + 1)^{-1} (|\mu|^2) + \left( -\frac{D^2}{dt^2} + 2 \right)^{-1} (\mu) \bar{\mu} \right) + \frac{1}{l} |\partial_\mu l|^2.$$

Here  $\frac{D}{dt}$  is differentiation along the geodesic  $\gamma$ .

An immediate consequence of [5, Corollary 1.7] is

**Corollary 2** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  which is independent of  $\gamma$  such that*

$$|\partial_\mu l(\gamma)| \leq C \|\mu\|_\infty l(\gamma), \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu l(\gamma)| \leq C \|\mu\|_\infty^2 l(\gamma).$$

### 3.2 Convergence

In order to rigorously justify our variational formulas, we must demonstrate the convergence of a certain sum.

**Lemma 2** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sum

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu(l(\gamma)) \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{k^n \cdot l(\gamma)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Re(s) > 1$ .

**Proof** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfy

$$k^n < e^{\varepsilon k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{3.8}$$

First, we consider only geodesics whose lengths,  $L$ , satisfy  $L > \max(1, \varepsilon)$ . This implies  $e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (\Re(s)+k)-1} > 1$  as  $\Re(s) + k \geq 1$ , and hence

$$e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (\Re(s)+k)-1} (e - 1) > 1$$

and

$$e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (\Re(s)+k)} - 1 > e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (\Re(s)+k)-1}. \tag{3.9}$$

It follows from (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\frac{k^n}{|e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1|} \leq \frac{k^n}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (\Re(s)+k)} - 1} \leq \frac{e}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (k+\Re(s))-\varepsilon \cdot k}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (k+\Re(s))-\varepsilon \cdot k}} = \frac{1}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot \Re(s)}} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{e^{k \cdot (l(\gamma)-\varepsilon)}} \leq \frac{1}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot \Re(s)}} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{l(\gamma)-\varepsilon} - 1}. \tag{3.11}$$

The geometric progression converges because  $l(\gamma) - \varepsilon > 0$ , hence  $e^{l(\gamma)-\varepsilon} > 1$ . Combining (3.10) and (3.11) with  $|\partial_\mu l(\gamma)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mu\| \cdot l(\gamma)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0), l(\gamma) \geq L} |\partial_\mu(l(\gamma))| \sum_{k \geq 0} \left| \frac{k^n \cdot l(\gamma)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1} \right| \leq e \|\mu\| \cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0), l(\gamma) \geq L} \frac{1}{e^{l(\gamma)-\varepsilon} - 1} \frac{l(\gamma)^2}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot \Re(s)}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{e^{l_0-\varepsilon} - 1} e \|\mu\|_\infty \cdot \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0), l(\gamma) \geq L} \frac{l(\gamma)^2}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot \Re(s)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $l_0$  denotes the length of the shortest closed geodesic. Recall that [11, p. 33],

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} e^{-l(\gamma)s_1} \tag{3.12}$$

converges absolutely for  $\Re(s_1) > \delta = 1$ . Choose  $\varepsilon_1(L) > 0$  such that  $l(\gamma)^2 \leq e^{l(\gamma) \cdot \varepsilon_1(L)}$  for  $l(\gamma) \geq L$ .

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0), l(\gamma) \geq 1} \frac{l(\gamma)^2}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot \Re(s)}} \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \frac{1}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (\Re(s)-\varepsilon_1(L))}} \tag{3.13}$$

converges for  $\Re(s) > \delta + \varepsilon_1(L)$ . We are left with  $l(\gamma) < L$ . As there are only finite many such geodesics, it is obvious that the series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0), l(\gamma) < L} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k^n \cdot l(\gamma)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1} \tag{3.14}$$

converges absolutely for any fixed  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The last step is to consequently take  $L$  larger and larger, allowing us to make  $\varepsilon_1(L)$  arbitrary small. This shows that the series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Re(s) > 1$ . □

### 3.3 First variational formula of $Z(s)$ for $\Re(s) > 1$

We introduce the local zeta function as in [15],

$$z_\gamma(s) := \prod_{k \geq 1} \left(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)(s+k)}\right)^{-k}. \tag{3.15}$$

We also recall the local Selberg zeta function for convenience here,

$$Z_\gamma(s) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 - e^{l(\gamma)(s+k)}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

We define further the higher local zeta function,

$$\tilde{z}_\gamma(s) := \prod_{k \geq 1} \left(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)(s+k)}\right)^{-k^2}. \tag{3.17}$$

We now have requisite tools to prove the first variational formula.

**Proposition 4** *Suppose  $\Re(s) > 1$ .*

$$\partial_\mu \log Z(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu \log l(\gamma) \left( s \frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} \right).$$

Above,  $\frac{\partial \log l(\gamma)}{\partial \mu} = l(\gamma)^{-1} \frac{\partial l(\gamma)}{\partial \mu}$  and  $\frac{\partial l(\gamma)}{\partial \mu}$  is given by Propositions 2 and 3.

**Proof** We compute by definition of  $Z(s)$  and Lemma 2 which allows us to differentiate termwise,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \log Z_\gamma(s) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \partial_\mu \left( \log(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)(s+k)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\partial_\mu(l(\gamma))(s+k)e^{-l(\gamma)(s+k)}}{1 - e^{-l(\gamma)(s+k)}} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \partial_\mu l(\gamma) \frac{s+k}{e^{l(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, this converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Recalling the definition of the local Selberg zeta function (3.16), and the local zeta function (3.15) we compute in a similar fashion that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{l(\gamma)}{e^{l(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{k}{e^{l(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$s \frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{s\ell(\gamma)}{e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{k}{e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1}. \quad (3.18)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \log Z_\gamma(s) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \frac{\partial_\mu \ell(\gamma)}{\ell(\gamma)} \left( s \frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu (\log \ell(\gamma)) \left( s \frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

### 4 Second variation

We prove here the second variational formula for the log of the Selberg zeta function as well as first and second variational formulas for: the Ruelle zeta function, the zeta-regularized determinant of the Laplacian, the square of the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of the resolvent, and the higher zeta functions,

#### 4.1 Second variation of Selberg zeta function

In preparation for the proof, we note first the following differentiation formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log Z_\gamma(s) &= -\ell(\gamma)^2 \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2}, \\ \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} &= -\ell(\gamma)^2 \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{k e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2}, \\ \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log \tilde{z}_\gamma(s)^{-1} &= -\ell(\gamma)^2 \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{k^2 e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log Z_\gamma(s) + 2s \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log \tilde{z}_\gamma(s) \\ = -\ell(\gamma)^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(s+k)^2 e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

**Proof of Theorem 1** We perform the differentiation  $\bar{\partial}_\mu$  on the result obtained in Proposition 4,

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s) = \bar{\partial}_\mu \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) \left( s \frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} \right) \right).$$

By Leibniz’s rule, this is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) \left( s \frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} \right) \\ & + \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) \bar{\partial}_\mu \left( s \frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} \right) \\ & = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) A_\gamma(s) \\ & + \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) \bar{\partial}_\mu \left( s \frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it only remains to consider the second term. For this, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\partial}_\mu \left( s \frac{d}{ds} \log Z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s)^{-1} \right) = \bar{\partial}_\mu A_\gamma(s) \\ & = \bar{\partial}_\mu \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(s+k)\ell(\gamma)}{e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \ell(\gamma)(s+k)}{e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1} - \frac{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)^2 \bar{\partial}_\mu \ell(\gamma) e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have for this second term,

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu A_\gamma(s) = \bar{\partial}_\mu \ell(\gamma) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{s+k}{e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1} - \frac{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)^2 e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2}.$$

Hence, the expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s) & = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) A_\gamma(s) + \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) \bar{\partial}_\mu \ell(\gamma) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{s+k}{e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1} \\ & \quad - \frac{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)^2 e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The second term above is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) \bar{\partial}_\mu \ell(\gamma) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{s+k}{e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1} - \frac{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)^2 e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2} \right) \\ & = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} |\partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma)|^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{(s+k)\ell(\gamma)}{e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1} - \frac{\ell(\gamma)^2 (s+k)^2 e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2} \right) \\ & = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} |\partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma)|^2 A_\gamma(s) - \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} |\partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma)|^2 \ell(\gamma)^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{(s+k)^2 e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the simple fact  $\partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) \bar{\partial}_\mu \ell(\gamma) = |\partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma)|^2 \ell(\gamma)$ . By our preliminary calculation (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} |\partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma)|^2 \ell(\gamma)^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(s+k)^2 e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)}}{(e^{\ell(\gamma)(s+k)} - 1)^2} \\ & = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} |\partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma)|^2 \left( s^2 \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log Z_\gamma(s) + 2ks \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log z_\gamma(s) + \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log \tilde{z}_\gamma(s) \right) \\ & = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} |\partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma)|^2 B_\gamma(s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the total expression is

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma) A_\gamma(s) + |\partial_\mu \log \ell(\gamma)|^2 (A_\gamma(s) + B_\gamma(s)).$$

Finally, we note that convergence follows from the estimates in Corollary 2 and Lemma 2.  $\square$

### 4.2 Applications to further variational formulas

The following formula for  $\text{Tr}(\Delta + s(s - 1))^{-2}$  may be proven as a consequence of the Selberg trace formula for the divided resolvents [17], [24, p. 118]. We have a somewhat different proof which may be of independent interest; this proof comprises ‘‘Appendix’’ section.

#### 4.2.1 Variation of the resolvent

**Lemma 3** *Let  $\Re s > 1$ . The squared resolvent  $(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-2}$  is of trace class, and the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of the resolvent is given by its trace,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 &= \text{Tr}(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-2} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + s(s - 1))^2} \\ &= \frac{(g - 1)\pi^2}{\alpha} (1 + \tan^2(\pi\alpha)) + \frac{(g - 1)}{4\alpha s^2} - \frac{(g - 1)s}{\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n}{(n^2 - s^2)^2} - L^2 \log Z(s), \end{aligned}$$

where above  $\alpha = s - 1/2$ , and the operator  $L := \frac{1}{2s-1} \frac{d}{ds}$ .

Lemmas 2 and 3 immediately imply

**Corollary 3** *For  $\Re(s) > 1$ , the first and second variations of the square of the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of the resolvent are, respectively*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 &= -L^2 \partial_\mu \log Z(s), \\ \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 &= -L^2 \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s). \end{aligned}$$

### 4.2.2 Variation of the Ruelle zeta function

**Proposition 5** For  $\Re(s) > 1$ , we have the first and second variations of the Ruelle zeta function

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \log R(s) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu(l(\gamma))s e^{-sl(\gamma)} \left(1 - e^{-sl(\gamma)}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu(l(\gamma))s \left(e^{sl(\gamma)} - 1\right)^{-1} \\ \partial_\mu \bar{\partial}_\mu \log R(s) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu(l(\gamma))s \left(1 - e^{-sl(\gamma)}\right)^{-1} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} s^2 |\partial_\mu(l(\gamma))|^2 e^{sl(\gamma)} \left(e^{sl(\gamma)} - 1\right)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

### 4.2.3 Variation of the determinant and torsion

**Proposition 6** The first and second variations of the log of the determinant,  $\log \det(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))$ , are equal to the corresponding variations of  $\log Z(s)$ , that is, for  $\Re(s) > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \log \det(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1)) &= \partial_\mu \log Z(s), \\ \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log \det(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1)) &= \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s). \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $s = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \log \det(\Delta_0) &= \partial_\mu \log Z'(1), \\ \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log \det(\Delta_0) &= \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z'(1). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** The proof follows immediately from (2.8). □

As a corollary, we obtain the variation of the holomorphic analytic torsion.

**Corollary 4** The first and second variations of the logarithm of the holomorphic analytic torsion,  $T_0(X)$ , defined in (2.10), are, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu \log T_0(X) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \log Z'(1), \\ \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log \det(\Delta_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z'(1). \end{aligned}$$

### 4.2.4 Variation of the higher zeta functions

We conclude this section by computing the variation of the higher zeta functions.

**Lemma 4** For a fixed point  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) > 1$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log z(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu \log(l(\gamma)) \cdot \left( s \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s) - \frac{d}{ds} \log \tilde{z}_\gamma(s)^{-1} \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{z}_\gamma(s) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+m)})^{-m^2}.$$

The sum in the right-hand side of the equation above is absolutely convergent.

**Proof** First compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} (\log z_\gamma(s)) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_\mu (-k \log(1 - e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)})) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} -\partial_\mu(l(\gamma)) \cdot \frac{k(s+k)e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)}}{1 - e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} -\frac{\partial_\mu(l(\gamma)) \cdot k(s+k)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} -\frac{\partial_\mu(\log l(\gamma)) \cdot l(\gamma) \cdot k(s+k)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Second,

$$\frac{d}{ds} (\log z_\gamma(s)) = \frac{d}{ds} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} -k \log(1 - e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)}) \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{-k \cdot l(\gamma)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1}.$$

Third,

$$\frac{d}{ds} (\log \tilde{z}_\gamma(s)^{-1}) = \frac{d}{ds} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} k^2 \log(1 - e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)}) \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k^2 \cdot l(\gamma)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1}.$$

To finish the lemma, we need to prove that we can change the order of differentiation with respect to  $\mu$  (or  $s$ ) and the summation over the set of primitive closed geodesics, that is

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu(l(\gamma)) \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{k^2 \cdot l(\gamma)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1} < \infty, \quad \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \partial_\mu(l(\gamma)) \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{k \cdot l(\gamma)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1} < \infty. \tag{4.2}$$

□

**Lemma 5** For any fixed point  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(s) > 1$  and  $t > 1$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log z(s, t) = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Prim}(0)} \left( s \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s, t) + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \frac{d}{ds} \log z_\gamma(s, t-j) \right),$$

The sum in the right-hand side of the equation above is absolutely convergent in  $\Re(s) > 1$ .

**Proof** The proof follows the termwise differentiation of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log z(s, t)$ . First we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} (\log z_\gamma(s)) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_\mu \left( \binom{t+k-1}{k} \log(1 - e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_\mu(l(\gamma)) \cdot \frac{\binom{t+k-1}{k}(s+k)e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)}}{1 - e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)}} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\partial_\mu(\log l(\gamma)) \cdot l(\gamma) \cdot \binom{t+k-1}{k}(s+k)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

The differentiation is justified because  $\binom{t+k-1}{k}(s+k)$  is a polynomial in  $k$  and hence the right-hand side of the previous equation converges for  $\Re(s) > 1$  by Lemma 2. For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq j < t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}(\log z_\gamma(s, t-j)) &= \frac{d}{ds} \left( \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{t+k-j-1}{k} \log(1 - e^{-l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\binom{t+k-j-1}{k} \cdot l(\gamma)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1}, \end{aligned}$$

and especially for  $j = 0$ ,

$$\frac{d}{ds}(\log z_\gamma(s, t)) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\binom{t+k-1}{k} \cdot l(\gamma)}{e^{l(\gamma) \cdot (s+k)} - 1}.$$

As before, the differentiation is justified by Lemma 2. Note that

$$\binom{t+k-1}{k}(s+k) = s \binom{t+k-1}{k} + \binom{t+k-1}{k+1}.$$

By the Christmas stocking identity,

$$\binom{t+k-1}{k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \binom{t+k-j-1}{k},$$

□

**Remark 3** It is straightforward to repeat the calculations for the Selberg zeta function to compute the second variation of these zeta functions as well; this is left as an exercise for the reader.

### 5 Asymptotic behavior as $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$

We begin by estimating the terms  $A_\gamma(s)$  and  $B_\gamma(s)$  when  $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 7** *We have for each  $\gamma$*

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_\gamma(s)e^{sl(\gamma)}(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})}{sl(\gamma)} = 1.$$

**Proof** We write

$$A_\gamma(s) = \frac{sl(\gamma)}{e^{sl(\gamma)}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{e^{kl(\gamma)} - e^{-sl(\gamma)}} + \frac{l(\gamma)}{e^{sl(\gamma)}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k}{e^{kl(\gamma)} - e^{-sl(\gamma)}}.$$

Hence, we define

$$I_a(s) := \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{e^{kl(\gamma)} - e^{-sl(\gamma)}}, \quad II_a(s) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k}{e^{kl(\gamma)} - e^{-sl(\gamma)}}.$$

Since we are interested in the behavior as  $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$ , we may assume that

$$\Re s > \frac{\ln(2)}{l_0}.$$

Then we have

$$0 < |e^{-sl(\gamma)}| < \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{e^{kl(\gamma)}}{2} \quad \forall k \geq 0 \implies \left| \frac{1}{e^{kl(\gamma)} - e^{-sl(\gamma)}} \right| < 2e^{-kl(\gamma)},$$

hence

$$0 < |I_a(s)| \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} 2e^{-kl(\gamma)} = \frac{2}{1 - e^{-l(\gamma)}}.$$

More generally, by the absolute convergence of the series, the function

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{e^{kl(\gamma)} - z},$$

is continuous for  $|z| < 1$ . In particular, it is continuous at  $z = 0$ . Hence, using

$$e^{-sl(\gamma)} = z,$$

we have

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} f(z) = \lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} I_a(s) = f(0) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-l(\gamma)}}.$$

Next, we estimate the  $II_a$  term,

$$0 < |II_a(s)| < 2 \sum_{k \geq 0} k e^{-kl(\gamma)} = -2 \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{k \geq 0} e^{-kx} \Big|_{x=l(\gamma)} = \frac{2e^{-l(\gamma)}}{(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})^2}.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_\gamma(s) e^{sl(\gamma)} (1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})}{sl(\gamma)} = \lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} I_a(s) (1 - e^{-l(\gamma)}) + \frac{II_a(s) (1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})}{s} = 1.$$

□

In a similar way, we compute the asymptotic behavior of  $B_\gamma(s)$  as  $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 8** *We have for each  $\gamma$*

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{B_\gamma(s) e^{sl(\gamma)} (1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})}{l(\gamma)^2 s^2} = 1.$$

**Proof** The proof is quite similar to that of the preceding proposition. We write

$$B_\gamma(s) = -l(\gamma)^2 \left[ s^2 \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)}}{(e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)} - 1)^2} + 2s \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)}}{(e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)} - 1)^2} + \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k^2 e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)}}{(e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)} - 1)^2} \right].$$

We therefore define three terms,

$$I_b(s) := \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)}}{(e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)} - 1)^2}, \quad II_b(s) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)}}{(e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)} - 1)^2},$$

and

$$III_b(s) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k^2 e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)}}{(e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)} - 1)^2}.$$

We begin by computing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_b(s) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{(e^{(s+k)l(\gamma)} - 1)(1 - e^{-(s+k)l(\gamma)})} \\
 &= \frac{1}{e^{sl(\gamma)}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{(e^{kl(\gamma)} - e^{-sl(\gamma)})(1 - e^{-(s+k)l(\gamma)})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} 1 - e^{-(s+k)l(\gamma)} = 1 \quad \forall k \geq 0,$$

and that

$$|1 - e^{-(s+k)l(\gamma)}| \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0, \quad \text{when } \Re s > \frac{\ln(2)}{l_0}.$$

The function

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{(e^{kl(\gamma)} - z)(1 - ze^{-kl(\gamma)})}$$

is continuous for all  $|z| < 1$ . In particular, this function is continuous at  $z = 0$ . We therefore have with

$$\begin{aligned}
 z &= e^{-sl(\gamma)}, \\
 \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} f(z) &= f(0) = \sum_{k \geq 0} e^{-kl(\gamma)} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-l(\gamma)}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} e^{sl(\gamma)} I_b(s)(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)}) = 1. \tag{5.1}$$

We shall estimate the other two terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 < |II I_b(s)| &= \left| \frac{1}{e^{sl(\gamma)}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k}{(e^{kl(\gamma)} - e^{-sl(\gamma)})(1 - e^{-(s+k)l(\gamma)})} \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \frac{1}{e^{sl(\gamma)}} \right| \sum_{k \geq 0} \left| \frac{2k}{(e^{kl(\gamma)} - e^{-sl(\gamma)})} \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \frac{4}{e^{sl(\gamma)}} \right| \sum_{k \geq 0} k e^{-kl(\gamma)} = \frac{4e^{-l(\gamma)}}{|e^{sl(\gamma)}|(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We therefore see that

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{II I_b(s)e^{sl(\gamma)}}{s} = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Next,

$$0 < |III I_b(s)| = \frac{1}{|e^{sl(\gamma)}|} \left| \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{k^2}{(e^{kl(\gamma)} - e^{-sl(\gamma)})(1 - e^{-(s+k)l(\gamma)})} \right| \leq \frac{4}{|e^{sl(\gamma)}|} \sum_{k \geq 0} k^2 e^{-kl(\gamma)}.$$

We compute the sum

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} k^2 e^{-kx} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \sum_{k \geq 0} e^{-kx} = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^2} + \frac{2e^{-2x}}{(1 - e^{-x})^3}.$$

Hence,

$$0 < |III_b(s)| \leq \frac{4}{|e^{sl(\gamma)}|} \left( \frac{e^{-l(\gamma)}}{(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})^2} + \frac{2e^{-2l(\gamma)}}{(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})^3} \right).$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{III_b(s)e^{sl(\gamma)}}{s^2} = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Recalling that

$$B_\gamma(s) = -l(\gamma)^2 (s^2 I_b(s) + 2s II_b(s) + III_b(s)),$$

by (5.1)–(5.3) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{B_\gamma(s)e^{sl(\gamma)}(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})}{l(\gamma)^2 s^2} \\ = \lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} I_b(s)e^{sl(\gamma)}(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)}) + \frac{2II_b(s)e^{sl(\gamma)}(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})}{s} \\ + \frac{III_b(s)e^{sl(\gamma)}(1 - e^{-l(\gamma)})}{s^2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

We are now poised to complete the proof of Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 2** We first assume, recalling (1.6),

$$|\partial_\mu l(\gamma_0)|^2 \neq 0.$$

This immediately implies

$$\partial_\mu \log l(\gamma_0) \neq 0 \implies |\partial_\mu \log l(\gamma_0)|^2 \neq 0.$$

For all  $\gamma$  with  $l(\gamma) > l_0$ , we note that by Propositions 7 and 8,

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_\gamma(s)}{B_{\gamma_0}(s)} = 0 = \lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_\gamma(s)}{B_{\gamma_0}(s)}.$$

Hence, we see that by Theorem 1,

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s)}{B_{\gamma_0}(s)} = 1.$$

This together with Proposition 8 for the asymptotics of  $B_{\gamma_0}(s)$  as  $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$  completes the proof of the theorem in this case.

Next, we assume that

$$\partial_\mu l_0 = 0.$$

This shows that the coefficient of  $B_{\gamma_0}(s)$  vanishes. By [5, Corollary 1.3]

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu l(\gamma) \neq 0, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Hence, the term with the slowest exponential decay as  $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$  is  $A_{\gamma_0}(s)$ . We similarly see that for all  $\gamma$  with  $l(\gamma) > l_0 = l(\gamma_0)$  that

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_\gamma(s)}{A_{\gamma_0}(s)} = 0 = \lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_\gamma(s)}{A_{\gamma_0}(s)}.$$

We therefore have by Theorem 1,

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s)}{A_{\gamma_0}(s)} = 1.$$

The proof is then completed by the asymptotics of  $A_{\gamma_0}(s)$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  given in Proposition 7. The statements for the Ruelle zeta function follow immediately upon noting that  $\log R(s)$  is simply given by the  $k = 0$  term in  $\log Z(s)$ .

For the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of the squared resolvent,

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{HS}^2 = -L^2 \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s).$$

Recalling the definition of  $L$  from Lemma 3, we compute that

$$L^2 = -\frac{2}{(2s - 1)^3} \frac{d}{ds} + \frac{1}{(2s - 1)^2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2}.$$

In the case  $|\partial_\mu l(\gamma_0)|^2 \neq 0$ , the asymptotic behavior of  $\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{HS}^2$  as  $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$  is therefore given by the dominant asymptotic behavior of

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\partial_\mu l_0|^2}{1 - e^{-l_0}} \left( -\frac{2}{(2s - 1)^3} \frac{d}{ds} + \frac{1}{(2s - 1)^2} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \right) s^2 e^{-sl_0} \\ &= \frac{|\partial_\mu l_0|^2}{(1 - e^{-l_0})(2s - 1)^2} \left[ -\frac{2(2s e^{-sl_0} - s^2 l_0 e^{-sl_0})}{2s - 1} + 2e^{-sl_0} - 2sl_0 e^{-sl_0} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2sl_0 e^{-sl_0} + s^2 l_0^2 e^{-sl_0} \right] \\ &= \frac{|\partial_\mu l_0|^2 l_0^2 e^{-sl_0}}{4(1 - e^{-l_0})} (1 + \mathcal{O}(s^{-1})), \quad \Re s \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have in this case

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{HS}^2 4(1 - e^{-l_0})}{|\partial_\mu l_0|^2 l_0^2 e^{-sl_0}} = 1.$$

In the case  $\partial_\mu l_0 = 0$ , the asymptotic behavior of  $\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{HS}^2$  as  $\Re s \rightarrow \infty$  is given by that of

$$\begin{aligned} & -L^2 \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log l_0 \left( \frac{sl_0 e^{-sl_0}}{1 - e^{-l_0}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log l_0}{1 - e^{-l_0}} \left( \frac{2}{(2s - 1)^3} (e^{-sl_0} - l_0 s e^{-sl_0}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{(2s - 1)^2} (-l_0 e^{-sl_0} - l_0 e^{-sl_0} + l_0^2 s e^{-sl_0}) \right) \\ &= -\frac{l_0^2 e^{-sl_0} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log l_0}{4s(1 - e^{-l_0})} (1 + \mathcal{O}(1/s)) \quad \Re s \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have

$$\lim_{\Re s \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{HS}^2 4s(1 - e^{-l_0})}{l_0^2 e^{-sl_0} \bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log l_0} = 1.$$

□

### 5.1 Curvature asymptotics

We now consider the special case when  $s = m \in \mathbb{N}$ , beginning by recalling

**Proposition 9** [39, Theorem 2] *The second variation*

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z'(1) = -\text{Chern}^{(1)}(\mu, \mu) + \frac{1}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2. \tag{5.4}$$

Let  $m \geq 2$ . Then, the second variation

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(m) = -\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu) + \frac{6(m-1)^2 + 6(m-1) + 1}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2. \tag{5.5}$$

The curvature  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$  has been known to admit an expansion of the form  $c_2 m^2 + c_1 m + c_0 + \dots$  for large  $m$ .<sup>3</sup> In [21] the first two coefficients have been found explicitly for general fibration of Kähler manifolds. We determine  $c_0$  and the remainder in Corollary 2.

**Proof of Corollary 2** We use (5.5) to write

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(m) = -\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu) + \frac{6m(m-1) + 1}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2.$$

We have proven that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(m) e^{m l_0} (1 - e^{-l_0})}{l_0^2 m^2 |\partial_\mu \log l_0|^2} = 1.$$

This shows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( -\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu) + \frac{6m(m-1) + 1}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2 \right) \frac{e^{m l_0}}{m^2} = \frac{l_0^2 |\partial_\mu \log l_0|^2}{(1 - e^{-l_0})}.$$

Hence,

$$-\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu) + \frac{6m(m-1) + 1}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2 = O(m^2 e^{-m l_0}), \quad m \rightarrow \infty,$$

which shows that

$$\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu) = \frac{6m(m-1) + 1}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2 + O(m^2 e^{-m l_0}), \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

**Remark 4** In a recent preprint [30], the third author together with Xueyuan Wan has been able to prove a general result in the setting of families of Kähler manifolds. The result shows that the leading three terms of the curvature  $\text{Chern}^{(m)}(\mu, \mu)$  and the Quillen curvature agree. In the case of Riemann surfaces, this can be proved independently using the Bergman kernel expansion [20] and Berndtsson’s curvature formula in Proposition 1.

<sup>3</sup> We began by computing the coefficients of  $m^{-k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  using the expression of the curvature given in Proposition 1. These coefficients become increasingly complicated expressions at an exponential rate, and in the end, they vanished in each increasingly lengthy calculation. Indeed, our results show that there are no further nonzero coefficients  $c_k m^k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $k \leq -1$ , because the remainder is  $O(k^{-N})$  for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 5.2 The cases $m = 1, 2$

Here we consider the special cases  $m = 1, 2$ . For  $m = 1$  we show that there are surfaces and harmonic Beltrami differentials for which the second variation is strictly positive, as well as surfaces and harmonic Beltrami differentials for which the second variation is strictly negative. In [35, Lemma 4.6], Wolpert obtained an upper estimate of  $-\frac{1}{2\pi(g-1)}$  for the curvature  $-\text{Chern}^{(2)}$ . We shall use Wolf’s pointwise estimates [31] for solutions of the Laplace equation to find a sharper estimate,  $-\frac{1}{6\pi(g-1)}$ . This might also be known to experts. Consequently we find also estimates for the variation of the Selberg zeta function.

**Proposition 10** *Let  $m = 1$ .*

- (1) *Let the genus  $g \geq 3$ . Then there exists  $t \in \mathcal{T}_g$  such that the corresponding Riemannian surface,  $X = X_t$  is hyperelliptic. Moreover, there exist harmonic Beltrami differentials,  $\mu$  such that  $\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z'(1) > 0$ .*
- (2) *Let  $g \geq 2$ . At any point  $t \in \mathcal{T}_g$  there exists a Beltrami differential  $\mu$  such that for the corresponding Riemannian surface,  $X = X_t$ ,  $\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z'(1) < 0$ .*

**Proof** If  $X$  is hyperelliptic, then there exist harmonic Beltrami differentials  $\mu$  such that

$$\langle R(\mu, \mu)u, u \rangle = 0$$

for all  $u \in H^0(\mathcal{K})$ . This follows for example from [7, Lemma 3.3 & Proposition 3.4]. Thus by Propositions 1 and 9, we have

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z'(1) > 0.$$

To prove the second statement, for any Riemann surface,  $X$ , let  $\omega$  be any nonzero abelian differential of norm 1. Then  $\omega^2$  is a holomorphic quadratic differential. Let  $\mu = \rho^{-1}\bar{\omega}^2$  be the corresponding harmonic Beltrami differential. We compute the curvature  $\text{Chern}^{(1)}(\mu, \mu)$  using Proposition 1. To do this, let  $\{\omega_j\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $H^0(\mathcal{K})$ , and fix  $\omega_1 = \omega$ . The sum  $\|[\mu \cdot \omega_i]\|^2$  is

$$\sum_i \|[\mu \cdot \omega_i]\|^2 \geq \langle \mu \cdot \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle^2$$

and

$$\langle \mu \cdot \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle = \int_X \rho^{-1} \bar{\omega}^2 \omega \omega = \|\omega^2\|^2 = \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2.$$

Thus

$$-\text{Chern}^{(1)}(\mu, \mu) \leq -\|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2,$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z'(1) = -\text{Chern}^{(1)}(\mu, \mu) + \frac{1}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2 \leq \left(-1 + \frac{1}{12\pi}\right) \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2 < 0.$$

□

The case  $m = 2$  is of special interest because  $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{K}^2)$  can be viewed as the dual of the tangent space of Teichmüller space. Moreover, the negative of the curvature,  $-\text{Chern}^{(2)}$  is therefore the Ricci curvature of the Weil–Peterson metric. This object has been studied intensively for quite some time; see for example [35]. We demonstrate elementary upper and lower estimates of the variation in this case.

**Proposition 11** *Let  $m = 2$  and  $B = B^{(2)}$  be the Bergman kernel in this case. We have*

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(2) \geq \frac{13}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2 - \|\mu\|_{L^4(X)}^2 \|B\|_{L^2(X)} - \|\mu\|^2 B \|_{L^1(X)}$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(2) \leq \left( \frac{13}{12\pi} - \frac{1}{6\pi(g-1)} \right) \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2.$$

**Proof** In this case we have

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(2) = -\text{Chern}^{(2)}(\mu, \mu) + \frac{12}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2.$$

We shall prove the estimates by demonstrating upper and lower estimates for  $\text{Chern}^{(2)}(\mu, \mu)$  in this case. In this way, we are also simultaneously demonstrating lower and upper estimates for the Ricci curvature of the Weil–Petersson metric.

By Proposition 1

$$\text{Chern}^{(2)}(\mu, \mu) = \int_X f(\mu) B + \sum_{j=1}^{d_2} \langle (\square_{1,1} + 1)^{-1} (\mu \cdot u_j), \mu \cdot u_j \rangle,$$

with

$$f(\mu) = (1 + \square_0)^{-1} |\mu|^2.$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$\left| \int_X f(\mu) B \right| \leq \|f(\mu)\|_{L^2(X)} \|B\|_{L^2(X)}.$$

We have the operator estimates  $(\square_{1,1} + 1)^{-1} \leq 1$ , and  $(\square_0 + 1)^{-1} \leq 1$ . In this case, we actually have the unitary equivalence of  $\square_{1,1}$  and  $\square_0$  via the natural identification of  $f(z) dz \otimes d\bar{z}$  with  $f(z) y^2$ , as the metric tensor  $y^{-2} dz \otimes d\bar{z}$  is globally defined. Consequently

$$\|f(\mu)\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \|\mu^2\|_{L^2(X)} = \|\mu\|_{L^4(X)}^2.$$

Hence,

$$\text{Chern}^{(2)}(\mu, \mu) \leq \|\mu\|_{L^4(X)}^2 \|B\|_{L^2(X)} + \sum_{j=1}^{d_2} \langle \mu \cdot u_j, \mu \cdot u_j \rangle.$$

As for the second term, this is just

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d_2} \langle \mu \cdot u_j, \mu \cdot u_j \rangle = \int_X |\mu|^2 B,$$

so we have the lower bound

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(2) \geq \frac{13}{12\pi} \|\mu\|_{\text{WP}}^2 - \|\mu\|_{L^4(X)}^2 \|B\|_{L^2(X)} - \|\mu\|^2 B \|_{L^1(X)}.$$

This implies the lower estimate.

To prove the upper estimate, we select one of the basis elements,  $u_j$ , to be the corresponding quadratic form of  $\mu$ . So, for example, we set

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{\|\mu\|_{WP}} \rho \bar{\mu},$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Chern}^{(2)}(\mu, \mu) &\geq \int_X (\square_0 + 1)^{-1} (|\mu|^2) B + \langle (\square_{1,1} + 1)^{-1} (\mu \cdot u_1), \mu \cdot u_1 \rangle \\ &\geq \int_X (\square_0 + 1)^{-1} (|\mu|^2) |u_1|^2 + \langle (\square_{1,1} + 1)^{-1} (\mu \cdot u_1), \mu \cdot u_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the unitary equivalence of the operators  $\square_{1,1}$  and  $\square_0$  that

$$\text{Chern}^{(2)}(\mu, \mu) \geq \frac{2}{\|\mu\|_{WP}^2} \langle (1 + \square_0)^{-1} |\mu|^2, |\mu|^2 \rangle \tag{5.6}$$

We proceed to estimate using [31]. On [31, p. 30], we see that in Wolf’s notation, our  $\mu = \frac{\Phi}{g_0}$ . We also note that the operator denoted  $\Delta$  there is equal to  $-\Delta_0 = -2\square_0$  in our notation. Hence, the statement of [31, Lemma 5.1] is in our context

$$0 \leq v \leq -2(-2\square_0 - 2)^{-1} |\mu|^2, \quad v = \frac{|\mu|^2}{3}.$$

Of course, this is equivalent to

$$0 \leq \frac{|\mu|^2}{3} \leq (\square_0 + 1)^{-1} |\mu|^2.$$

We use this estimate in (5.6) to obtain

$$\text{Chern}^{(2)}(\mu, \mu) \geq \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\|\mu\|_{WP}^2} \int_X |\mu|^4. \tag{5.7}$$

The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality gives

$$\sqrt{\int_X |\mu|^4} \sqrt{\int_X 1^2} \geq \int_X |\mu|^2 \cdot 1 \implies \int_X |\mu|^4 \geq \left(\int_X |\mu|^2\right)^2 \left(\int_X 1\right)^{-1}.$$

So, recalling the fact that the volume of our surface is  $4\pi(g - 1)$ , we have the lower estimate

$$\int_X |\mu|^4 \geq \|\mu\|_{WP}^4 \frac{1}{4\pi(g - 1)}.$$

Consequently, putting this estimate into (5.7),

$$\text{Chern}^{(2)}(\mu, \mu) \geq \frac{\|\mu\|_{WP}^2}{6\pi(g - 1)}.$$

□

It would be interesting to find some more effective estimates for the variations in terms of the eigenvalues of  $\square_{m-1,1}$  and the geometry of the Riemann surface. The related questions of estimating the Weil–Petersson sectional curvature have been studied extensively; see [38] and references therein.

**Acknowledgements** Open access funding provided by Chalmers University of Technology. We are very grateful to Bo Berndtsson for several inspiring discussions and to Steve Zelditch for clarifying some concepts in Teichmüller theory. We thank also Werner Müller for drawing our attention to the reference [13]. We appreciate stimulating discussions with Dennis Eriksson and a careful reading of the paper by Magnus Goffeng and Xueyan Wan. The second author is supported by the Swedish Research Council Grant 2018-03873, the third author by the Swedish Research Council Grant 2018-03402. The second author gratefully acknowledges the support of the National Science Foundation Grant DMS-1440140 as well as a room with a view at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the fall 2019 semester. All authors are grateful to the comments of the anonymous reviewers which have resulted in significant improvements to the quality of the paper.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

### Appendix A: The Hilbert–Schmidt norm of the squared resolvent and its variation

**Proof of Lemma 3** Let

$$N(\gamma) = e^{\ell(\gamma)}, \quad \alpha = s - 1/2, \quad \beta = s' - 1/2, \quad c(r) = r \tanh(\pi r).$$

For non-prime  $\gamma$ , with

$$\gamma = k\gamma_p, \quad \gamma_p \in \text{Prim}(0), \quad N_p(\gamma) := N(\gamma_p).$$

Recall that by the Gauss–Bonnet Theorem, the area of our Riemann surface is  $4\pi(g - 1)$ . By the Selberg Trace Formula,

$$\begin{aligned} R(s, s') &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{r_n^2 + \alpha^2} - \frac{1}{r_n^2 + \beta^2} \\ &= (g - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{r^2 + \alpha^2} - \frac{1}{r^2 + \beta^2} \right) c(r) dr + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\log N_p(\gamma) N(\gamma)^{-s}}{1 - N(\gamma)^{-s}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\log N_p(\gamma) N(\gamma)^{-s'}}{1 - N(\gamma)^{-s'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here note that we are taking  $\gamma$  not necessarily primitive, that is  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$  rather than  $\mathcal{L}_p$ . Hence,  $N(\gamma) = e^{\ell(k\gamma)} = e^{k\ell(\gamma)}$ . The eigenvalues,

$$r_n^2 + \alpha^2 = r_n^2 + 1/4 + s(s - 1), \quad r_n^2 + 1/4 = \lambda_n, \quad \sigma(\Delta_0) = \{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Thus, the spectrum of the operator

$$\sigma(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1)) = \{\lambda_n + s(s - 1)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{r_n^2 + \alpha^2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Then, the spectrum of the inverse,

$$\sigma((\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n^2 + \alpha^2} \right\}.$$

Hence, the square of the Hilbert–Schmidt norm

$$\|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(r_n^2 + \alpha^2)^2}.$$

We divide the expression for  $R(s, s')$  by  $s - s'$  and let  $s' \rightarrow s$ , thereby obtaining the derivative with respect to  $s$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\lim_{s' \rightarrow s} \frac{R(s, s')}{s - s'} = -2\alpha \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(r_n^2 + \alpha^2)^2} = -2\alpha \|(\Delta_0 + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2.$$

By the Selberg Trace Formula, this is equal to

$$(g - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-2\alpha r \tanh(\pi r)}{(r^2 + \alpha^2)^2} dr - \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\log(N(\gamma))N(\gamma)^{-s}}{1 - N(\gamma)^{-s}} - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\log(N(\gamma))^2 N(\gamma)^s}{(N(\gamma)^s - 1)^2}.$$

Note that in terms of  $\alpha$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\alpha} \frac{d}{ds} = L.$$

Moreover,

$$\log Z(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}} \log(1 - N(\gamma)^{-s}).$$

Hence,

$$L \log Z(s) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}} \frac{\log N(\gamma)N(\gamma)^{-s}}{1 - N(\gamma)^{-s}}.$$

Thus,

$$-2\alpha \|(\Delta + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = (g - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-2\alpha r \tanh(\pi r)}{(r^2 + \alpha^2)^2} dr - \frac{d}{ds} L(\log Z(s)).$$

Dividing by  $-1/2\alpha$  we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Delta + s(s - 1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 &= \frac{-(g - 1)}{2\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-2\alpha r \tanh(\pi r)}{(r^2 + \alpha^2)^2} dr + L^2(\log Z(s)) \\ &= (g - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{r \tanh(\pi r)}{(r^2 + \alpha^2)^2} dr + L^2(\log Z(s)). \end{aligned}$$

We consider the integral. Let

$$f(z) = \frac{z \tanh(\pi z)}{(z^2 + \alpha^2)^2}.$$

If  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|f(z)| = O(|z|^{-3})$ , thus we can compute the integral over  $\mathbb{R}$  using a large half disk contour together with the residue theorem. The estimate shows that the integral on the curved arc of the half disk is vanishing as the radius of the disk tends to infinity. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{\Im(z) > 0} \text{Res}(f; z).$$

We compute the residues. The hyperbolic tangent has simple poles wherever the hyperbolic cosine vanishes. For  $\Im(z) > 0$ , this occurs at

$$z = i(n + 1/2), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Moreover, there is also a pole of order two at  $z = i\alpha$ . The residues at the simple poles are

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow i(n+1/2)} \frac{z - i(n + 1/2)}{\cosh(\pi z)} \frac{z \sinh(\pi z)}{z^2 + \alpha^2}.$$

The first part converges to

$$\frac{1}{\cosh(\pi z)}, \quad z = i(n + 1/2) = \frac{1}{\pi \sinh(\pi i(n + 1/2))} = \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi}.$$

The second part converges to

$$\frac{i(n + 1/2)(-1)^n}{(\alpha^2 - (n + 1/2)^2)^2}.$$

Thus the residues at these poles are

$$\frac{i(n + 1/2)}{\pi(\alpha^2 - (n + 1/2)^2)^2}.$$

The residue at the pole of order 2 is  $F'(i\alpha)$ , where  $F(z) := (z - i\alpha)^2 f(z)$ . Hence, we compute the derivative of

$$F(z) = \frac{z \tanh(\pi z)}{(z + i\alpha)^2},$$

$$F'(z) = \frac{(z + i\alpha)^2(\tanh(\pi z) + \pi z(1 - \tanh^2(\pi z))) - 2(z + i\alpha)z \tanh(\pi z)}{(z + i\alpha)^4}.$$

Hence,

$$F'(i\alpha) = \frac{\tanh(i\pi\alpha) + i\pi\alpha(1 - \tanh^2(i\pi\alpha))}{(2i\alpha)^2} - \frac{2i\alpha \tanh(i\pi\alpha)}{(2i\alpha)^3} = \frac{\pi}{2i\alpha}(1 - \tanh^2(i\pi\alpha)).$$

We have by the Residue Theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{r \tanh(\pi r)}{(r^2 + \alpha^2)^2} dr = (2\pi i) \left( \frac{\pi}{2i\alpha}(1 - \tanh^2(i\pi\alpha)) + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{i(n + 1/2)}{\pi(\alpha^2 - (n + 1/2)^2)^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2}{\alpha}(1 + \tanh^2(\pi\alpha)) - 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{n + 1/2}{(\alpha^2 - (n + 1/2)^2)^2}.$$

This is due to the fact that

$$\tanh(i\pi\alpha) = i \tan(\pi\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To achieve a bit more simplification, we use the fact that in general

$$\frac{1}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha - \beta)^2} = \left( \frac{1}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} - \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \right) \frac{-1}{4\alpha\beta}.$$

Then we have for the sum,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(n+1/2)}{(\alpha+(n+1/2))^2(\alpha-(n+1/2))^2} \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{-(n+1/2)}{4\alpha(n+1/2)} \left( \frac{1}{(\alpha+n+1/2)^2} - \frac{1}{(\alpha-(n+1/2))^2} \right) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(2s-1/2)+2(n+1/2))^2} - \frac{1}{(2s-1/2)-2(n+1/2))^2} \right) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(2s+2n)^2} - \frac{1}{(2s-2(n+1))^2} \right) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{1}{(n+s)^2} - \frac{1}{(n+1-s)^2} \right) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4\alpha s^2} - \frac{1}{4\alpha} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{(n+s)^2} + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{(m-s)^2} \right) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{4\alpha s^2} + \frac{1}{4\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{-1}{(n+s)^2} + \frac{1}{(n-s)^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{4\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{-1}{(n+s)^2} + \frac{1}{(n-s)^2} = \frac{1}{4\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{4ns}{(n^2-s^2)^2}.$$

Thus, the sum part simplifies to

$$-\frac{1}{4\alpha s^2} + \frac{s}{\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n}{(n^2-s^2)^2}.$$

Hence, we have computed the Hilbert–Schmidt norm square,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|(\Delta_0 + s(s-1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \\
 &= (g-1) \left( \frac{\pi^2}{\alpha} (1 + \tan^2(\pi\alpha)) - 2 \left( -\frac{1}{4\alpha s^2} + \frac{s}{\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n}{(n^2-s^2)^2} \right) \right) - L^2 \log Z(s). \\
 &= \frac{(g-1)\pi^2}{\alpha} (1 + \tan^2(\pi\alpha)) + \frac{(g-1)}{2\alpha s^2} - \frac{2(g-1)s}{\alpha} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n}{(n^2-s^2)^2} - L^2 \log Z(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we find also the variational formulas for the norm square

$$\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \|(\Delta_0 + s(s-1))^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = -\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu (L^2 \log Z(s)) = -L^2 (\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s))$$

with  $\bar{\partial}_\mu \partial_\mu \log Z(s)$  being given in Sects. 4 and 5.  $\square$

## References

- Ahlfors, L.V.: Curvature properties of Teichmüller's space. *J. Anal. Math.* **9**, 161–176 (1961)
- Ahlfors, L.V.: Some remarks on Teichmüller's space of riemann surfaces. *Ann. Math.* **74**, 171–191 (1961)

3. Anderson, J.W., Parlier, H., Pettet, A.: Small filling sets of curves on a surface. *Topology Appl.* **158**, 84–92 (2011)
4. Axelsson, R., Schumacher, G.: Geometric approach to the Weil–Petersson symplectic form, arXiv preprint [arXiv:0808.3741](https://arxiv.org/abs/0808.3741) (2008)
5. Axelsson, R., Schumacher, G.: Variation of geodesic length functions in families of Kähler–Einstein manifolds and applications to Teichmüller space. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **37**, 91–106 (2012)
6. Berndtsson, B.: Curvature of vector bundles associated to holomorphic fibrations. *Ann. Math.* **169**, 531–560 (2009)
7. Berndtsson, B.: Strict and nonstrict positivity of direct image bundles. *Math. Z.* **269**(3–4), 1201–1218 (2011)
8. Berthomieu, A.: Analytic torsion of all vector bundles over an elliptic curve. *J. Math. Phys.* **42**(9), 4466–4487 (2001)
9. Bismut, J.-M., Bost, J.-B.: Fibrés déterminants, métriques de Quillen et dégénérescence des courbes. *Acta Math.* **165**(1–2), 1–103 (1990)
10. Bismut, J.M., Vasserot, É.: The asymptotics of the Ray–Singer analytic torsion associated with high powers of a positive line bundle. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **125**(2), 355–367 (1989)
11. Borthwick, D.: *Spectral Theory of Infinite-Area Hyperbolic Surfaces*. Springer, Berlin (2007)
12. Demailly, J.P.: *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*. Available at <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf> (2012)
13. Fay, J.: Kernel functions, analytic torsion, and moduli spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **96**(464), vi+123 (1992)
14. Fedosova, K., Rowlett, J., Wan, X., Zhang, G.: Second variation of selberg zeta function and its plurisubharmonicity, in preparation
15. Gon, Y.: First variation of Selberg zeta functions and variational trace formulas. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **18**(3), 257–280 (2003)
16. Hashimoto, Y., Wakayama, M.: Hierarchy of the Selberg zeta functions. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **73**(1), 59–70 (2005)
17. Hejhal, D.A.: *The Selberg Trace Formula for  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$* , vol. 2. Springer, Berlin (2006)
18. Iwayoshi, Y., Taniguchi, M.: *An Introduction to Teichmüller Spaces*. Springer, Berlin (2012)
19. Kurokawa, N., Wakayama, M.: Higher Selberg zeta functions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **247**(2), 447–466 (2004)
20. Lu, Z.: On the lower order terms of the asymptotic expansion of Tian–Yau–Zelditch. *Amer. J. Math.* **122**(2), 235–273 (2000)
21. Ma, X., Zhang, W.: Superconnection and family Bergman kernels. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **344**(1), 41–44 (2007)
22. Parlier, H., Anderson, J.W., Pettet, A.: Small filling sets of curves on a surface. *Topology Appl.* **158**, 84–92 (2011)
23. Ray, D.B., Singer, I.M.: Analytic torsion for complex manifolds. *Ann. Math.* **98**(1), 154–177 (1973)
24. Sarnak, P.: Determinants of Laplacians. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **110**(1), 113–120 (1987)
25. Schaller, P.S.: Systoles and topological Morse functions for Riemann surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.* **52**(3), 407–452 (1999)
26. Schumacher, G.: Positivity of relative canonical bundles and applications. *Invent. Math.* **190**, 1–56 (2012)
27. Sun, X.: Deformation of canonical metrics I. *Asian J. Math.* **16**(1), 141–155 (2012)
28. Takhtajan, L.A., Zograf, P.G.: A local index theorem for families of  $\bar{\partial}$ -operators on punctured Riemann surfaces and a new Kähler metric on their moduli spaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **137**(2), 399–426 (1991)
29. Tromba, A.J.: *Teichmüller theory in Riemannian geometry*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Lecture notes prepared by Jochen Denzler (1992)
30. Wan, X., Zhang, G.: The asymptotic of curvature of direct image bundle associated with higher powers of a relative ample line bundle, preprint
31. Wolf, M.: The Weil–Petersson Hessian of length on Teichmüller space. *J. Differential Geom.* **91**(1), 129–169 (2012)
32. Wolpert, S.A.: Behavior of geodesic-length functions on Teichmüller space. *J. Differential Geom.* **79**(2), 277–334 (2008)
33. Wolpert, S.: Noncompleteness of the Weil–Petersson metric for Teichmüller space. *Pacific J. Math.* **61**(2), 573–577 (1975)
34. Wolpert, S.: An elementary formula for the Fenchel–Nielsen twist. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **56**(1), 132–135 (1981)
35. Wolpert, S.: Chern forms and the Riemann tensor for the moduli space of curves. *Invent. Math.* **85**(1), 119–145 (1986)

36. Wolpert, S.: Geodesic length functions and the Nielsen problem. *J. Differential Geom.* **25**(2), 275–296 (1987)
37. Wolpert, S.: Convexity of geodesic-length functions: a reprise, *Spaces of Kleinian groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. **329**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 233–245 (2006)
38. Wolpert, S.: Geodesic-length functions and the Weil–Petersson curvature tensor. *J. Differential Geom.* **91**(2), 321–359 (2012)
39. Zograf, P.G., Takhtadzhyan, L.A.: A local index theorem for families of  $\bar{\partial}$ -operators on Riemann surfaces. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **42**(6), 258 (1987)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.