



CHALMERS
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Simple Preparation of Ni and NiO Nanoparticles Using Raffinate Solution Originated from Spent NiMH Battery Recycling

Downloaded from: <https://research.chalmers.se>, 2026-04-04 13:10 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Ebin, B. (2018). Simple Preparation of Ni and NiO Nanoparticles Using Raffinate Solution Originated from Spent

NiMH Battery Recycling. *Journal of Inorganic and Organometallic Polymers and Materials*, 28(6): 2554-2563. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10904-018-0926-4>

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.



Simple Preparation of Ni and NiO Nanoparticles Using Raffinate Solution Originated from Spent NiMH Battery Recycling

Burçak Ebin¹

Received: 8 May 2018 / Accepted: 16 July 2018 / Published online: 27 July 2018
© The Author(s) 2018

Abstract

Nickel (Ni) and nickel oxide (NiO) nanoparticles were produced by a combination of precipitation and reduction/calcination methods using the raffinate solution originated from laboratory scale spent NiMH recovery process. Ni recovery from the solution reached 99.8% by a simple precipitation step using baking soda. X-ray diffraction, FTIR spectroscopy, carbon analyzer and thermal gravimetric analysis techniques were used to characterize the precipitate. Metallic and oxide nanoparticles were obtained by hydrogen reduction and calcination under air atmosphere of the precipitate at 400 °C, respectively for 30–90 min residence times. The crystal structure, crystallite size, morphology, particle size and surface area of the samples, as well as carbon residue content in the particles were detected by particle characterization methods. The results indicate that spherical Ni nanoparticles have a crystallite size about 37 nm, and particle sizes of around 100 nm. The agglomeration of the nanoparticles reduces by increasing residence time. NiO nanoparticles have finer crystallite and particle sizes than the metallic samples produced at the same temperature and residence times. The results show that the combination of the simple methods presented can be an alternative process for producing advanced particles from spent NiMH batteries.

Keywords Nanoparticles · Nickel · Nickel oxide · Nickel hydrogen carbonate · Spent NiMH battery · Hydrogen reduction · Calcination

1 Introduction

Nanoparticles possess unique optical, magnetic, electrical and chemical properties due to their high surface area to volume ratio, which makes them highly attractive for both the scientific and industrial community [1, 2]. There is a specific interest in nickel (Ni) and nickel oxide (NiO) nanoparticles because of their electronic, magnetic and catalytic properties, as well as economic advantages in catalytic applications compared to noble metals [3–8].

Ni nanoparticles have several potential applications in magnetic devices, supercapacitors, and chemical reactions

as a catalyst [3, 6, 9–11]. Several methods were developed to prepare Ni nanoparticles such as thermal decomposition/reduction [6, 10], wet-chemical processes [4, 9, 12], sputtering [13] and ultrasonic spray pyrolysis [14].

NiO nanoparticles are a p-type semiconductor with a wide band gap between 3.6 and 4.0 eV [15]. Their superior physico-chemical properties make them a promising candidate for advanced material applications. They can be used as electrode material for supercapacitors [16, 17], active anode material for Li-ion batteries [18], as a catalyst for chemical and electrochemical reactions [19, 20], an adsorbent for the removal of heavy metals from waste water [21, 22], and as a component in gas sensors and electronic devices [23]. The preparation of NiO nanoparticles was studied by microwave method [8], solvothermal process [15], precipitation and subsequent calcination [18, 19, 21], sol–gel technique [20], and a hydrothermal method with subsequent calcination [24]. In the summarized literature, pure chemicals were used as a raw material to prepare Ni and NiO nanoparticles. On the other hand, waste rich in Ni can be a suitable source for producing advanced Ni-based nanomaterials.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10904-018-0926-4>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Burçak Ebin
burcak@chalmers.se; burcakebin@gmail.com

¹ Nuclear Chemistry and Industrial Material Recycling, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, Kemivägen 4, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

Nickel-metal hydride (NiMH) batteries have been in the market since 1990, and this battery technology reached widespread application in a short time due to its good performance at both low and high temperatures, safety under extreme conditions, good consistency and environmental friendliness [25, 26]. NiMH batteries are still extensively used in electric vehicles (EVs), hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs), portable power tools and modern military devices [25, 26]. According to market review [27], the consumption of NiMH batteries will increase slightly up until 2020; their waste is a valuable secondary source not only for Ni and cobalt (Co), but also for rare earth elements (REE) [26, 28]. In 2006, the European Union (EU) passed the Battery Directive, one of whose aims is a higher rate of battery recycling. Member States must collect at least 45% of waste batteries in 2016 and recycle 50% by average weight of alkaline, zinc-carbon, NiMH and Li-ion batteries. It also emphasizes that research and development of new, environmentally friendly and cost-effective recycling technologies should be encouraged [29].

Over the last two decades, pyrometallurgical and hydrometallurgical methods have been studied to develop a more efficient recycling process for spent NiMH batteries [30]. In the case of pyrometallurgy, battery waste is a cheap source of nickel for the steel industry [31]. The Inmetco process is one of the pyrometallurgical methods used to recycle rechargeable battery waste (NiCd, NiMH and Li-ion). The process uses the batteries as a secondary feedstock in addition to materials containing iron (Fe) to reclaim the Ni, Co and Fe to produce an iron-based alloy [32]. Müller and Friedrich [31] reported that battery waste was melted in a DC electric arc furnace to produce Ni-Co alloys and a slag phase highly enriched with REE. In contrast, hydrometallurgical processes are based on the dissolution of the NiMH batteries in acidic solvent and the subsequent solvent-extraction to separate the REE from the Ni and Co leaching solution. The outcomes of the solvent extraction method are (i) organic solvent loaded with REE and other metals, and (ii) Ni rich aqueous solution, which is called raffinate solution. The obtained Ni rich raffinate solution requires further treatment such as precipitation or electrowinning to prepare a final Ni product [33, 34]. Recently, Xi et al. [35] studied the preparation of NiCo ferrite nanoparticles by sol-gel combustion using spent NiMH and Li-ion batteries. Although there are several studies on hydrometallurgical recycling of NiMH batteries, research on the preparation of final product using raffinate solution is limited.

In this research, an environmentally friendly Ni recovery process from the raffinate solution from spent NiMH batteries used in HEVs was investigated. Ni and NiO nanoparticles were produced by a combination of precipitation and H₂ reduction/calcination methods using recovered Ni solution. The high-temperature reaction behavior of the precipitate

under different atmospheres and the effects of residence time on nanoparticle properties were studied.

2 Experimental

Recovered Ni raffinate solution from NiMH batteries used in HEVs was chosen as starting material in the experiments. Details of the process for preparing the Ni raffinate from mixed electrodes of NiMH battery waste were published by Petranikova et al. [36]. The raffinate solution prepared by Petranikova et al. was used in the experiments. Briefly, 8 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution was added to mixed material in 1:1.96 solid to liquid ratio to dissolve the spent HEV NiMH battery electrodes. The solutions were then filtered to remove the organic components and undissolved nickel metal to obtain a clean leaching solution, which is called leachate. The leaching solutions were processed by pilot scale mixer-settler equipment. In pre-main extraction zinc and iron were separated from leachates of cathodes and mixed battery material using organic extractor (10% Cyanex 923, 8% tributyl phosphate—TBP, and 82% kerosene). Aluminium and REEs are separated in main extraction stages from anode leachate and output of pre-main extraction using organic extractor (70% Cyanex 923, 10% TBP, and 10% kerosene, 10% 1-Decanol) to prepare the Ni rich raffinate solution. Metals such as Al, Ce, Co, Fe, La, Mn, Nd, Pr, Y and Zn were loaded to organic in main extraction, while the main amount of Ni stayed in the acidic solution, known as raffinate [36]. The chemical composition of the Ni raffinate is given in Table 1.

2.1 Precipitation

Sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, purity ≥ 99.7), also known as baking soda, was used as the precipitation agent and mixed with Milli-Q water in stoichiometric amounts to prepare 1, 1.5 and 1.96 M aqueous solutions. 100 mL solutions were stirred and heated to 60 °C by a magnetic hotplate heater. Meanwhile, 10 mL Ni raffinate solutions were placed in an ultrasonic bath (VWR,

Table 1 Elemental analysis of battery waste

Elements	Concentration of starting solution (g/L)
Ni	75.020
Co	0.022
Al	0.121
K	0.507
La	0.073
Mg	0.026

Ultrasonic cleaning bath, USC THD) and heated to 60 °C. The sodium bicarbonate solutions were poured into the Ni raffinate solutions located in the ultrasonic bath at 60 °C, and the final solutions were mixed with ultrasound at pouring temperature for 3 h. Green-colored precipitates were instantly observed by mixing the solutions. The pH values of the suspensions were checked after the ultrasonic mixing. The suspensions were filtered to separate the precipitate from the liquid parts, and the precipitates were washed with Milli-Q water three times.

2.2 Calcination/Reduction

The washed precipitate was used to produce Ni and NiO nanoparticles. In the experiments, the weight of the washed precipitate was 1 g; it was put into a ceramic combustion boat. The combustion boat was placed inside a horizontal quartz tube located in an electrically heated tube furnace with a temperature control of ± 1 °C at the reaction temperature. The calcination and reduction processes were performed at 400 °C for 30, 60 and 90 min residence times under constant gas flow rates.

Air with a gas flow rate of 1.0 L/min was used to produce NiO nanoparticles. The combustion boats were loaded into the furnace under air atmosphere at 400 °C. After the desired calcination times, the combustion boats were removed from the furnace at the reaction temperature to room temperature under air atmosphere.

A 5% H_2 - N_2 mixture (Air Liquide, Mixture Crystal) with a gas flow rate of 1.0 L/min was used to produce Ni nanoparticles. The reaction zone was purged by a 1.0 L/min $N_{2(g)}$ flow for 1 min before and after the reaction for safety reasons. The combustion boats were loaded into the furnace under $N_{2(g)}$ atmosphere at 400 °C reaction temperature, and the gas was then switched to a 5% H_2 - N_2 mixture. After the desired hydrogen reduction times, the combustion boats were removed from the furnace at the reaction temperature to room temperature under $N_{2(g)}$ atmosphere.

2.3 Characterization

The nickel content in the solutions after precipitation was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, ICAP 6500, Thermo Fisher) to calculate the nickel recovery amount. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR- Perkin Elmer Spectrum Two) was used to detect the composition of the washed precipitate. Thermal gravimetric analyses (TA Instruments, TGA—Q500) were performed at temperatures ranging from 25 to 1000 °C under air, nitrogen and 5% H_2 - N_2 gas mixture atmospheres separately.

X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance) using Cu $K\alpha$ radiation was used to examine the crystalline

compounds, crystalline structure and crystallite size of the samples. A carbon analyzer (LECO Carbon/Sulfur Analyzer CS744) was used to determine the carbon content of the washed precipitate, Ni and NiO nanoparticles. The particle size and morphology of the samples were investigated using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FEL, Quanta 200 FEG ESEM). The analyses of particle size and size distribution of the obtained Ni and NiO nanoparticles were performed using a BI-90 particle sizer instrument from Brookhaven Instruments Corporation. The surface area of the samples were measured by BET analysis (Micromeritics ASAP 2020 Surface Area and Porosity Analyzer). BET surface area is also related to average particle size where the average particle size was calculated using the following equation;

$$S_{\text{BET}} = 6000/\rho d_{\text{BET}} \quad (1)$$

where S_{BET} is the BET surface area (m^2/g), ρ is the density of the sample (g/cm^3) and d_{BET} is the calculated average particle size (nm) [37].

3 Results and Discussion


The elemental analysis of the Ni raffinate solution (starting solution) is given in Table 1. The solution contains a high amount of Ni and negligible amounts of other metals (Co, Al, K, La, and Mg) coming from the spent NiMH battery recycling process. Table 2 shows the Ni precipitation amount depending on $NaHCO_3$ concentration. The highest concentration used was 1.96 M as it is the solubility limit of $NaHCO_3$ in water at 60 °C. Ni precipitation reached 99.8% using 1.5 and 1.96 M $NaHCO_3$ solutions, while the final pH values of the mixtures were observed as 7.6 and 8.0 respectively. The detailed elemental analyses after precipitation are given in the supplementary document.

The 1.5 M concentrated solution was decided as the optimum nickel precipitation condition due to the high recovery amount while consuming less baking soda. The characterization studies were conducted by analyzing this precipitate. The XRD patterns of the precipitate before and after washing are shown in Fig. 1. Before washing, NaCl peaks are

Table 2 pH values of the solutions and Ni precipitation amount from the waste solution by sol-gel process mixed in ultrasonic bath for 3 h at 60 °C

Sample	Concentration of $NaHCO_3$ solution (M)	pH of the solution	Ni precipitation amount, %
1	1.00	6.3	92.1
2	1.50	7.6	99.8
3	1.96	8.0	99.8

Fig. 1 XRD patterns of (a) precipitated sample and (b) after washing




dominant in the structure denoting the existence of a NaCl salt content in the precipitate. Cl⁻ anions in the raffinate solution reacted with Na⁺ cations in the precipitating agent to form salt. After washing, the salt was removed and the Ni salt peaks became clear. Although nickel(II) hydrogen carbonate (Ni(HCO₃)₂) is the dominant structure in the washed sample, the peaks of nickel oxide hydroxide (NiO(OH)) and nickel carbonate hydroxide (Ni₂CO₃(OH)₂) [JCPDS 35-0501] can be faintly observed in the XRD pattern.

The carbon content of the washed precipitate is measured as 4.39 wt%. In contrast, the carbon contents of Ni(HCO₃)₂ and Ni₂CO₃(OH)₂ are nearly 13.2 and 5.68 wt%, respectively. The XRD patterns and carbon analysis result clearly show that washed precipitate contains three structure, Ni(HCO₃)₂, Ni₂CO₃(OH)₂, and NiO(OH).

Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectrum of the washed precipitate. The broad absorption band at around 3450 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to O–H stretching vibrations. The band at

Fig. 2 FTIR spectrum of the washed precipitate



around 1610 cm^{-1} is probably due to the H–O–H bending vibration of adsorbed water. The three bands at 1450 , 1066 , and 837 cm^{-1} are the characteristics of carbonate ions. The band at 705 cm^{-1} can be associated with Ni–O stretching vibration and Ni–O–H bending vibrations.

TGA curves of the washed precipitate under air, $\text{N}_{2(\text{g})}$ and $5\%\text{H}_2\text{-N}_2$ gas mixture atmospheres from room temperature to $1000\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ are given in Fig. 3. The sample has nearly the same thermal behavior under air and $\text{N}_{2(\text{g})}$ atmospheres. Two different slopes were observed from room temperature to $250\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The first slope to $150\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ concerns the evaporation of the adsorbed water, which shows an almost 10% weight loss. At the temperatures between 150 and $250\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, the additional 4% weight loss is probably related to decomposition





Fig. 3 TGA curves of the washed precipitate obtained under air, nitrogen and $5\%\text{H}_2\text{-N}_2$ atmospheres

Fig. 4 XRD patterns of Ni particles prepared at $400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ using $5\%\text{H}_2\text{-N}_2$ gas mixture with flow rate of 1 L/min for (a) 30 min, (b) 60 min and (c) 90 min



of hydrates. The 24% weight loss between 250 and $400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ represents the decomposition of nickel carbonates to NiO. Under air atmosphere, a slight decrease in weight at around $700\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ indicates the combustion of carbon residue. Under a $5\%\text{H}_2\text{-N}_2$ gas mixture, the weight loss in the first two steps is almost the same, but the reaction plateau ended at lower temperatures compared to other atmospheres. The hydrogen reduction reaction of the sample to metallic Ni started at 220 and ended at $260\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, showing a weight loss of almost 35%.

3.1 Ni Nanoparticles

Ni nanoparticles were produced by hydrogen reduction of the washed precipitate at $400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ at residence times of $30\text{--}90\text{ min}$. Figure 4 shows the XRD patterns of the obtained Ni nanoparticles. The diffraction peaks of the Ni particles are indexed to face centered cubic (FCC) structure with Fm-3m space group, which are consistent with PDF Card No: 04-010-6148. The crystallite sizes of the particles were calculated by Scherrer equation using (111), (200) and (220) peaks in the XRD patterns. Instrumental broadening was taken into account to obtain accurate crystallite sizes in the calculation. The crystallite sizes of the Ni nanoparticles are 37 , 37 and 42 nm by increasing residence time from 30 to 60 and 90 min , respectively.

The carbon content of the washed precipitate and Ni nanoparticles are given in Table 3. The carbon content of the particles fell initially and then became almost stable by increasing residence time from 30 to 60 and 90 min . Figure 5 shows the SEM images of the Ni nanoparticles. All samples exhibit nearly spherical morphology, and the average

Table 3 Carbon content of Ni nanoparticles produced at 400 °C

Reduction time	Carbon amount, wt%
Starting material	4.39 ± 0.0448
30 min	0.762 ± 0.0115
60 min	0.703 ± 0.0626
90 min	0.701 ± 0.0128

particle size from the SEM images varies between 100 and 130 nm.

Table 4 presents the average particle size and size distribution of the Ni nanoparticles measured by dynamic light scattering. The measured particle sizes are bigger than the observed results due to the agglomeration of the samples. The liberation of the individual nanoparticles from agglomerates is limited in the Ni samples. Furthermore, the measured particle size reduced by increasing residence time. Probably, carbon residue caused agglomeration of the finer particles, and even though removal of the carbon content

Fig. 5 SEM images Ni particles prepared at 400 °C using 5% H₂–N₂ gas mixture with flow rate of 1 L/min for **a** 30 min, ×20K, **b** 30 min, ×60K, **c** 60 min, ×20K, **d** 60 min, ×60K, **e** 90 min, ×20K and **f** 90 min, ×60K




Table 4 Size distribution of Ni nanoparticles produced at 400 °C

Reduction time (min)	Average particle size (nm)	Cumulative undersize (nm)				
		10% below	25% below	50% below	75% below	90% below
30	874 ± 11	304	453	704	1096	1634
60	765 ± 8	301	421	613	892	1250
90	534 ± 13	285	368	489	649	837

Table 5 BET surface area of Ni nanoparticles produced at 400 °C

Reduction time (min)	BET surface area (m ² /g)	Calculated particle size (nm)
30	5.93 ± 0.05	114
60	5.76 ± 0.06	117
90	5.64 ± 0.05	119

was too low over time, it decreased the degree of agglomeration. The BET surface areas and calculated particle sizes of the Ni particles are given in Table 5. The particle surface areas are reduced slightly by increasing residence time, which is clearly an effective factor for inducing the particle growth. The particle sizes calculated using surface area are nearly the same as the results observed on the SEM images.

3.2 NiO Particles

NiO nanoparticles were produced by calcination of the washed precipitate at 400 °C for 30–90 min residence times using 1 L/min air flow. Figure 6 shows the XRD patterns of the NiO nanoparticles. The diffraction peaks of the NiO

particles correspond to face centered cubic (FCC) structure with Fm-3m space group which are consistent with PDF Card No: 04-001-9373. The crystallite sizes of the particles were calculated by Scherrer equation using (111), (200) and (220) peaks in the XRD patterns. Instrumental broadening was taken into account to obtain accurate crystallite sizes in the calculation. The crystallite sizes of the NiO nanoparticles were detected as 9, 10 and 12 nm by increasing residence time from 30 to 60 and 90 min, respectively.

The carbon content of the starting material (washed precipitate) and NiO nanoparticles are given in Table 6. Initially, the carbon content of the particles first sharply decreased sharply due to a decomposition reaction to NiO, and then became almost stable by changing the residence time. Figure 7 shows the SEM images of the NiO

Table 6 Carbon content of NiO nanoparticles produced at 400 °C

Calcination time	Carbon amount, wt%
Starting material	4.39 ± 0.0448
30 min	0.550 ± 0.0067
60 min	0.582 ± 0.0177
90 min	0.552 ± 0.0026



Fig. 6 XRD patterns of NiO particles prepared at 400 °C using air with flow rate of 1 L/min for (a) 30 min, (b) 60 min and (c) 90 min

Fig. 7 SEM images NiO particles prepared at 400 °C using air with flow rate of 1 L/min for **a** 30 min, $\times 20K$, **b** 30 min, $\times 60K$, **c** 60 min, $\times 20K$, **d** 60 min, $\times 60K$, **e** 90 min, $\times 20K$ and **f** 90 min, $\times 60K$



nanoparticles. All samples exhibit nearly spherical morphology, and the average particle size from the SEM images increases slightly from 75 to 83 and 98 nm depending on the increased residence time.

The average NiO particle size and size distribution measured by dynamic light scattering are given in Table 7. The measured particle sizes were coarser than the observed results due to agglomeration of the samples. The extended calcination residence time provided a suitable environment for particle growth and agglomeration, and thus the measured NiO particle size increased over

time. Table 8 presents the BET surface areas and calculated sizes of the NiO particles. The particle surface areas decreased from 68.81 ± 0.44 to 50.36 ± 0.33 m²/g by increasing residence time, a greater reduction percentage compared to Ni nanoparticles. Particle sizes calculated using surface areas are between 13 and 18 nm. For Ni and NiO particles of the same size, residence time should be more active for metallic Ni particle growth since NiO particles tend to be more stable [38]. It is clear that nanostructures with smaller particle sizes are more sensitive to growth with residence time.

Table 7 Size distribution of NiO nanoparticles produced at 400°C

Calcination time (min)	Average particle size (nm)	Cumulative undersize (nm)				
		10% below	25% below	50% below	75% below	90% below
30	481 ± 3	266	339	444	581	741
60	560 ± 6	261	353	495	693	938
90	656 ± 20	257	368	550	820	1177

Table 8 BET surface area of NiO nanoparticles produced at 400°C

Calcination time (min)	BET surface area (m ² /g)	Calculated particle size (nm)
30	68.81 ± 0.44	13
60	63.83 ± 0.43	14
90	50.36 ± 0.33	18

4 Conclusion

Ni and NiO nanoparticles were successfully produced by a combination of precipitation and reduction/calcination methods using raffinate solution obtained by the recovery of spent NiMH batteries. The advantage of the method is in using the baking soda as a precipitation agent instead of harmful chemicals to recover the Ni from the solution, which makes it more environmentally sound process. Furthermore, the precipitated nickel carbonate-based compound can decompose to form NiO under air at low temperatures as well as effectively reducing to pure metallic Ni under a 5% H₂–N₂ atmosphere at 400 °C. In the method described, 99.8% of the Ni in the recovered solution was reclaimed as advance particulate material. The carbon residue on the metallic samples reduced the liberation of the Ni nanoparticles at sizes around 100 nm and caused agglomeration. On the other hand, NiO nanoparticles were obtained in finer sizes and residence time had a strong impact on particle growth for the smaller nanoparticles. The combination of simple, environmentally friendly and inexpensive methods of producing advanced particles from waste can be an innovative path to achieving considerable progress in the recycling concept.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by Åforsk Foundation (Grant No.: 17-539).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- G. Palasantzas, S.A. Koch, T. Vsytaev, J. Th., M. De Hosson, Opportunities from the nanoworld: gas phase nanoparticles. *J. Alloy. Compd.* **449**, 237–241 (2008)
- W.J. Stark, P.R. Stoessel, W. Wohlleben, A. Hafner, Industrial applications of nanoparticles. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **44**, 5793–5805 (2015)
- S.-H. Park, H.-S. Kim, Flash light sintering of nickel nanoparticles for printed electronics. *Thin Solid Films* **550**, 575–581 (2014)
- Z. Jiang, X.J. Xie, D. Jiang, X. Wei, M. Chen, Modifiers-assisted formation of nickel nanoparticles and their catalytic application to p-nitrophenol reduction. *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **15**, 560–569 (2013)
- R.G. Chaudhary, J.A. Tanna, N.V. Gandhare, A.R. Rai, H.D. Juneja, Synthesis of nickel nanoparticles: microscopic investigation, an efficient catalyst and effective antibacterial activity. *Adv. Mater. Lett.* **6/11**, 990–998 (2015)
- X. He, W. Zhong, C.-T. Au, Y. Du, Size dependence of the magnetic properties of Ni nanoparticles prepared by thermal decomposition method. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **8**, 446–455 (2013)
- D. Nikolić, M. Panjan, G.R. Blake, M. Tadić, Annealing-dependent structural and magnetic properties of nickel oxide (NiO) nanoparticles in a silica matrix. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **35**, 3843–3852 (2015)
- A.L. Gajengi, T. Sasaki, B.M. Bhanage, NiO nanoparticles catalyzed three component coupling reaction of aldehyde, amine and terminal alkynes. *Catal. Commun.* **72**, 174–179 (2015)
- X. Wu, W. Xing, L. Zhang, S. Zhuo, J. Zhuo, G. Wang, S. Qiao, Nickel nanoparticles prepared by hydrazine hydrate reduction and their application in supercapacitor. *Powder Technol.* **224**, 162–167 (2012)
- H. Inokawa, T. Ichikawa, H. Miyaoka, Catalysis of nickel nanoparticles with high thermal stability for ammonia decomposition. *Appl. Catal. A* **491**, 184–188 (2015)
- M. Gong, D.-Y. Wang, C.-C. Chen, B.-J. Hwang, H. Dai, A mini review on nickel-based electrocatalysts for alkaline hydrogen evolution reaction. *Nano Res.* **9**, 28–46 (2016)
- C.J. Pandian, R. Palanivel, S. Dhananasekaran, Green synthesis of nickel nanoparticles using *Ocimum sanctum* and their application in dye and pollutant adsorption. *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.* **23**, 1307–1315 (2015)
- M. Maicas, M. Sanz, H. Cui, C. Aroca, P. Sánchez, Magnetic properties and morphology of Ni nanoparticles synthesized in gas phase. *J. Magn. Mater.* **322**, 3485–3489 (2010)
- B. Ebin, S. Gurmen, Synthesis and characterization of nickel particles by hydrogen reduction assisted ultrasonic spray pyrolysis (USP-HR) method. *KONA Powder Particle J.* **29**, 134–140 (2011)
- K. Anandan, V. Rajendran, Morphological and size effects of NiO nanoparticles via solvothermal process and their optical properties. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **14/1**, 43–47 (2011)
- W. Yu, X. Jiang, S. Ding, B.Q. Li, Preparation and electrochemical characteristics of porous hollow spheres of NiO nanosheets as electrodes of supercapacitors. *J. Power Sources* **256**, 440–448 (2014)

17. A. Barani, M. Aghazadeh, M.R. Ganjali, B. Sabour, A.-A.M. Barmi, S. Dalvand, Nanostructured nickel oxide ultrafine nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization, and supercapacitive behavior. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **23**, 85–92 (2014)
18. G. Zhang, Y. Chen, B. Qu, L. Hu, L. Mei, D. Lei, Q. Li, L. Chen, Q. Li, T. Wang, Synthesis of mesoporous NiO nanospheres as anode materials for lithium ion batteries. *Electrochimica Acta* **80**, 140–147 (2012)
19. M.A. Nasser, F. Kamali, B. Zakerinasab, Catalytic activity of reusable nickel oxide nanoparticles in the synthesis of spirooxindoles. *RSC Adv.* **5**, 26517–26520 (2015)
20. A.S. Danial, M.M. Saleh, S.A. Salih, M.I. Awad, On the synthesis of nickel oxide nanoparticles by sol–gel technique and its electrocatalytic oxidation of glucose. *J. Power Sources* **293**, 101–108 (2015)
21. M.A. Behnajady, S. Bimeghdar, Synthesis of mesoporous NiO nanoparticles and their application in the adsorption of Cr(VI). *Chem. Eng. J.* **239**, 105–113 (2014)
22. T. Sheela, Y.A. Nayaka, Kinetics and thermodynamics of cadmium and lead ions adsorption on NiO nanoparticles. *Chem. Eng. J.* **191**, 123–131 (2012)
23. S. Pilban Jahromi, N.M. Huang, M.R. Muhamad, H.N. Lim, Green gelatine-assisted sol–gel synthesis of ultrasmall nickel oxide nanoparticles. *Ceram. Int.* **39**, 3909–3914 (2013)
24. Y.-Z. Zheng, H.-Y. Ding, M.-I. Zhang, Preparation and electrochemical properties of nickel oxide as a supercapacitor electrode material. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **44/2**, 403–407 (2009)
25. C.C. Yang, C.C. Wang, M.M. Li, Q. Jiang, A start of the renaissance for nickel metal hydride batteries: a hydrogen storage alloy series with an ultra-long cycle life. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **5**, 1145–1152 (2017)
26. S.-L. Lin, K.-L. Huang, I.-C. Wang, I.-C. Chou, Y.-M. Kuo, C.-H. Hung, Characterization of spent nickel–metal hydride batteries and a preliminary economic evaluation of the recovery processes. *J. Air Waste Manage.* **66/3**, 296–306 (2016)
27. C. Pillot, Avicenne Market Review “Battery Market Development for Consumer Electronics, Automotive, and Industrial: Materials Requirements & Trends”, <https://www.rechargebatteries.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/Avicenne-market-review-Nive-2014.pdf>. Accessed 10 June 2018
28. M.S. Gasser, M.I. Aly, Separation and recovery of rare earth elements from spent nickel–metal–hydride batteries using synthetic adsorbent. *Int. J. Miner. Process.* **121**, 31–38 (2013)
29. European Parliament, Council of the European Union, Directive 2006/66/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 September 2006 on batteries and accumulators and waste batteries and accumulators and repealing Directive 91/157/EEC. *Official Journal of European Union*, L 266, pp. 1–14 (2006)
30. S. Al-Thyabat, T. Nakamura, E. Shibata, A. Iizuka, Adaptation of minerals processing operations for lithium-ion batteries (LiBs) and nickel metal hydride (NiMH) batteries recycling: critical review. *Miner. Eng.* **45**, 4–17 (2013)
31. T. Muller, B. Friedrich, Development of a recycling process for nickel–metal hydride batteries. *J. Power Sources* **158**, 1498–1509 (2006)
32. T. Georgi-Maschler, B. Friedrich, R. Weyhe, H. Heegn, M. Rutz, Development of a recycling processes for Li-ion batteries. *J. Power Sources* **207**, 173–182 (2012)
33. P. Meshram, H. Somani, B.D. Pandey, T.R. Mankhand, H. Deveci, Abhilash, two stage leaching process for selective metal extraction from spent nickel metal hydride batteries. *J. Clean. Prod.* **157**, 322–332 (2017)
34. K. Larsson, C. Ekberg, A. Ødegaard-Jehsen, Using Cyanex 923 for selective extraction in a high concentration chloride medium on nickel metal hydride battery waste, Part II: mixer-settler experiments. *Hydrometallurgy* **133**, 168–175 (2013)
35. G. Xi, H. Xu, L. Yao, Study on preparation of NiCo ferrite using spent lithium-ion and nickel–metal hydride batteries. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **145**, 50–55 (2015)
36. M. Petranikova, I. Herdzyk-Koniecko, B.-M. Steenari, C. Ekberg, Hydrometallurgical processes for recovery of valuable and critical metals from spent car NiMH batteries optimized in a pilot plant scale. *Hydrometallurgy* **171**, 128–141 (2017)
37. A. Weibel, R. Bouchet, F. Boulc’, P. Knauth, The big problem of small particles: a comparison of methods for determination of particle size in nanocrystalline anatase powders. *Chem. Mater.* **17**, 2378–2385 (2005)
38. J. Lee, F. Zhou, K.H. Chung, N.J. Kim, E.J. Lavernia, Grain growth of nanocrystalline Ni powders prepared by cryomilling. *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* **32**, 3109–3115 (2001)